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## Life's Journey

## Definition

Life's journey can be compressed into a barrage of cause-and-effect analyses. This means that we are forever doing things to achieve a desired outcome. A desired outcome is not guaranteed; rather, it is probabilistic with varying degrees of likelihood. Simply put, life's journey can also be defined as a collage of intersections.

## Example

The little input x's (in the diagram below) represent all the things you do on a continuous basis (input) to achieve a desired outcome, including your family, education, values, religion, work, etc. The list is infinite.
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TThe intent of this report is to examine the distribution and proportion of the US population to shine a spotlight on colonial America; the United States; South Carolina; and six selected counties, including Horry County, for the two largest historical groups, White (European descent) and Black (African descent) inhabitants, from 1630 to 2020.

Although the United States was founded on July 4, 1776, as the land of the free, slavery had already existed since about 1619 (Hampton History Museum) in colonial America; therefore, the founding of the United States did not change the status of Black African people who were still enslaved from 1776 (approximately $21 \%$ of the population of the colonies) to 1865 , when slavery was abolished by the ratification of the Thirteenth Amendment on December 6 of that year. This is made clear by accentuating the proportion of Black inhabitants during this important historical period that occurred in the mainland of what is known today as the United States of America.

The period in the report covers about 400 years of population growth and changes. Although many groups comprise the US population today, the Black experience is unique because of hundreds of years of slavery; Jim Crow laws; treatment as second-class citizens; and, in many instances, being viewed as racially inferior. Even Catholic priests in Charleston County, South Carolina, bought and sold Black people during slavery. Aside from the categories of White and Black inhabitants, other groups were placed in a single category of "All Others" for this report where applicable. The All Others category includes Native Americans and Alaska Natives, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and other Pacific Islanders, and people who identified as two or more races. The idea is to discern the White and Black historical groups' population growth and the dynamics of their population proportion over hundreds of years in colonial America and the United States.

The past still affects us; to understand where to go in the future, we must understand the past. Therefore, we must look at the number and percentage proportion of these two groups from a historical
> "The idea is to discern the White and Black historical groups' population growth and the dynamics of their population proportion over hundreds of years in colonial America and the United States."
perspective: one group that was enslaved and subjugated by the other for about 300 years (1670-1970) in South Carolina and about 169 years (1801-1970) in Horry County. The year 1970 is used because it was the year that South Carolina finally legally


David C. Wilson desegregated all public schools after a long fight to maintain segregated public schools. Despite the US Supreme Court Decision of 1954 in the Brown v. Board of Education, which ruled that segregation of children by race was unconstitutional and effectively overturned the Plessy v. Ferguson decision of 1896 that ruled segregation was legal as long as equal facilities were provided for White and Black residents, segregation remained in place in South Carolina until 1970.

Depicting Black residents’ proportion in the population in a quantitative format might help everyone, including the justice system, address the many complexities of everyday living between the two historical groups. In particular, these complexities exist in public schools in Horry County, South Carolina, and the nation as a wholeespecially the expulsion problem and academic performance gap that haunts Black students. The starting point for this paper is the colonial period, followed by the antebellum period, the postbellum period, and the present. As previously mentioned, the report places heavy emphasis on the percentage proportion of White and Black residents. Consequently, these two historical populations are the only groups depicted throughout this report to accentuate the long and distinct Black experience in the United States and, particularly, the Southern states.

Thank you for taking the time to read this report.


David C. Wilson
Author and Founder/CEO
dave@wilsonconsultingservices.net
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## Chapter 1

# Introduction 

Description<br>Outline of Chapters<br>Flow Diagram of Chapters

Introduction

The objective is to provide a summary of population growth and changes from the colonial period to the antebellum and the postbellum periods for Colonial America in the United States, the free states, slave states, South Carolina, Horry County, and other selected counties in South Carolina.

The scope of this report is primarily about populations and the two large historical categories, Black alone and White alone. In this report, White and Black are to be construed as White alone and Black alone. Mexicans were counted as White by the US Federal Census from 1790 to 1930. Hence, there is no credible way to extract Mexicans who were enumerated as White for 140 years in the United States. The collection of data on race and ethnicity in the US Census has changed over time, including the addition of new enumeration categories and changes in definitions of those categories, such as American Indian and Alaska Native alone, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, some other race, and two or more races. To that end, this report's graphical and tabular analyses provide a growth and proportion analysis of the number and proportion of populations for White and Black residents in colonial, antebellum, and postbellum America. Generally, the report covers these three periods, spanning from 1630 (population: circa 4,646 ) to 2020 (population: circa 331,449,261). $\dagger$

Colonialism is the practice of extending and maintaining a nation's political and economic control over another people or area. For example, the original thirteen colonies were controlled by the British. The colonial period lasted from the early seventeenth century to the incorporation of the thirteen original colonies into the United States of America during the American Revolutionary War! in 1776, when the colonies won their independence from Britain. Antebellum America is the time between the formation of the US government and the outbreak of the American Civil War-from 1776 to 1860. In this report, the postbellum period is considered from post-Civil War to the present, especially the

## "The scope of this report is primarily about populations and the two large historical categories, Black alone and White alone."

struggle in the former slave states that persist to the present. There were only two major categories in terms of count and percentage during the colonial and antebellum periods and the first 100 years of the postbellum period. The category "All Others" will be indicated where applicable. The idea is to compare the two historical groups-White and Black-from when Blacks were enslaved in South Carolina and other southern states in 1630 to 2020.

The first census was enumerated in 1790; however, there were enumerations in the colonies conducted by some of the colonial governors and the British Board of Trade; therefore, the population counting during the colonial period from 1610 to 1780 were replete with statistical estimations. The 1790 census primarily used designations "White" and "Black" or "Negros." This report started at 1630 because this was the first year South Carolina inhabitants were enumerated. Although South Carolina separated from North Carolina in 1710, estimations were made of the area that became South Carolina.

The primary data source was the US Census Bureau and an arm of the Census Bureau known as the American Community Survey (ACS). The first US Census count started on August 2, 1790. Its primary objective was to determine the number of House representees each state was allocated; slaves were counted as three-fifths of a person for this purpose. This meant that the number of enslaved people was counted-without names-and the total number was multiplied by three-fifths and added to the White population. In addition, the population was used to determine financial resources from the federal government-as it is today. The ACS is a demographics survey program conducted annually by the US Census Bureau instead of every 10 years, as with the regular census. The frequency of the ACS helps local governments, community leaders, and businesses understand the changes in their communities. The ACS is the premier source for detailed population and housing information for the nation. To that end, there might be some variations

Introduction, cont.
in reports about populations and other demographics because the ACS is updated at least once a year, whereas the count in this report is based on the tenyear enumerated census count of the population.

After slavery was abolished, the designations of "Free Colored" and "Slave" were discontinued in the US Census and other federal documents in 1865. Although archived databases during the antebellum period referred to Black persons as "Free Colored" and "Slave," these designations were replaced with "Free Black" and "Enslaved Person" in this report. Before 1865, the designation "Black person" included free Blacks and enslaved people. After the abolition of slavery, the notion of "free Blacks" and "enslaved parsons" became "Black persons." Consequently, White and Black residents continued to be the only two categories used in this report. "All Others" was a category for non-White and non-Black. Although the focus of the report is from the first organized census, enumerated in 1790, graphs include data from 1630 to the colonial period. To that end, the first Black enslaved persons were imported into Virginia in 1619. $\dagger$ By 1640, the overall population (White and Black) increased to about 400 and about 2,000 , respectively, in 1670 . Moreover, the intent of the report is to depict the two historical and largest categories, from 1630 for the colonies and 1790 for the United States, slave states, and South Carolina. Additionally, the period from 1810 to 2020 for Horry County and six selected counties are depicted in this report. Horry County was founded in 1801. The area before 1801 was part of Georgetown County, South Carolina.

The archived adjective "Colored" is a complex one and, as previously mentioned, was replaced with "Black" in this report. Colored was used historically
> "During the Great Migration from the 1910s to the 1970s, millions of Black people moved from the American South to northern industrial cities, contributing to the smaller percentage of the Black population in the South."
as a racial descriptor in the United States during the antebellum and postbellum periods to refer to African American or Black residents (through the 1960s). For example, the name of my elementary school was Todd Swamp Colored School (Horry County, South Carolina). Additionally, large black letters were stamped on the inside cover of my elementary school textbooks, reading "For Colored Use Only."

However, in the context of the history of slavery in the Americas, free people of color were primarily African or people of mixed African, European, and Native American descent who were not enslaved. A way to become free was through manumission (release from slavery). This freedom was granted by the government or a private person to the enslaved person for some instance of remarkable service. Another way to become a free Black was by being "self-purchased," in which the person saved up enough money to buy their freedom, hence, a paradoxical situation. This was not common. Graphs and tabular analysis throughout this report will highlight how few free Blacks existed during the antebellum period.

The percentage computation of White and Black residents of pre- and post-Civil War will include in the denominator (total population) all other categories such as American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, two or more races, and multiracial. To emphasize, although these groups will not be depicted on the graphs before 1860 , the percentages were computed with these groups included in the total population and shown with "All Others" in graphs and tables where applicable. For example, the total Black population in South Carolina, Horry County, and selected counties has increased in the numerical count but decreased significantly in percentage. As
$\dagger$ The first colony was founded at Jamestown, Virginia, in 1607. In late August, 1619, about 30 enslaved Africans were brought to Virginia aboard the English privateer ship White Lion. At Point Comfort, they were sold to Virginia Company officials in return for supplies. A few days later, 2-3 additional enslaved Africans were traded by the ship Treasurer. They were the first recorded Africans in mainland English America (Hampton History Museum, 2018).

Introduction, cont.
an example, the graphs will show a steady increase in headcount over the past 390 years from 1630 to 2020 for various intervals of time. Note that the first US organized census was in 1790.

Some graphical analyses in this report show an increase in population count with an accompanying decrease in percentage that can be attributed to an increase in those identifying as not White alone or Black alone. Being able to selfidentify does allow for muchneeded flexibility by not letting others, such as census takers and organizations, identify individuals. This can be explained as ethnic groups, two or more races, multiracial, Asian, Pacific Islander, American Indian, Hispanic, Alaska Native, and so on.

The enslaved and total Black populations in South Carolina exceeded the White population from about 1720 to 1780 , and from 1820 to 1920. This means that Black enslaved residents made up more than $50 \%$ of the total population in South Carolina for about 160 years. See Figures 6.9.5 and 7.6.3.

During the Great Migration from the 1910s to the 1970s, millions of Black people moved from the American South to northern industrial cities, contributing to the smaller percentage of the Black population in the South. The Great Migration had a major impact on the reversal of Black residents in the majority in South Carolina for more than 100 years after the country was founded in 1776. The Great Migration movement was one of the largest movements of people in US history. Approximately
> "The enslaved and total Black populations in South Carolina exceeded the White population from about 1720 to 1780, and from 1820 to 1920 (circa 160 years)."

6 million Black people moved from the Southern United States to the Northern, Midwestern, and Western states from about 1910 to the 1970s (National Archives). As a result, White residents became a majority in South Carolina in 1930. There are narratives accompanying the graphs and tables throughout this report; therefore, no further description is warranted in the introduction. The diagram in Figure 1.0 .0 will help the reader understand the flow of the material presented in the report.

Note 1: According to the US Census Bureau, the United States Census enumerated White residents and Black residents since 1790, Asians and Native Americans since 1860 (all Native Americans were not enumerated until 1890), "some other race" since 1950, and "two or more races" since 2000. Mexicans were counted as White from 1790 to 1930 (140 years), unless of apparent non-European extraction. Hispanics (as well as the Non-Hispanic White population) were enumerated since 1940 (except for 1950 and 1960), but some estimates for the Hispanic (and Non-Hispanic White) population were made for certain years before 1940 (as well as for 1950 and 1960).

Note 2: The three dashes in empty table cells throughout this report means that the population count was not available, or the colony or state was not settled or founded yet. For example, South Carolina was settled in 1660; therefore, three dashes are shown in empty cells for tables associated with the colony until 1670. For example, see Table 3.3.1.
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Figure 1.0.0: Flow diagram of chapters sequencing.

## Chapter 2

## The Transatlantic Slave Trade: 1501-1866

Importation of Africans to the Americas<br>During the Colonial Antebellum Periods

### 2.1 Distribution of African People Imported to the Americas: 1500s-1800s

T'he graphs shown in Figures 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 depict the number and percentage of Africans imported to the Americas during the colonial and antebellum periods by various European countries. The intervals are in 25-year periods. The country of Portugal was by far the largest importer of African
people to the Americas during the slave trade period from approximately 1501 to 1866 . Approximately 12.5 million Africans imported during the slave trade. The United States imported more than a quarter of a million African people from their homeland to the Americas. See Table 2.2.1.

Percentage of Enslaved Africans Imported to the Americas by Country: 1501-1866 $\dagger$


Figure 2.1.1: Percentage of enslaved Africans imported to the Americas from circa 1501 to 1866.*

A1though about 10.7 million enslaved Africans survived the slave trade era, only about 450,000 (Gates, Louis, 2014) were shipped directly to North America, which equates to only about $4.2 \%$. However, in the year 1860 , about $67 \%$ of all enslaved people in the Western Hemisphere was in the American South. This extraordinary percentage suggest that slavery was sustained primarily in the United States by natural reproduction from enslaved people. A baby born from an enslaved person was an enslaved person at birth. This equates to $710 \%$ increased over the percentage (circa 3.4\%) of Africans imported directly from Africa to North America. In 1860, there were about 4 million enslaved people in the United States. See Figure 2.1.2 and Table 2.2.1.

[^3]Percentage Proportion of Enslaved Africans Imported to the Americas in Time Intervals: 1501-1866


Figure 2.1.2: Percentage of enslaved Africans imported to the Americas.
*Source: Table 2.2.1

### 2.2 Tabular Analysis: 1501-1866

The tabular data in Table 2.2.1 shown below were used to construct Figures 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 in this paper. The table depicts the percentage contribution of several European powers who contributed to the 12.5 million Africans captured and shipped to the Americas. The estimated number of Africans who embarked $(12,521,337)$ and disembarked $(10,702,659)$. Therefore, the number of Africans $(1,818,677$ or $14.5 \%$ ) passed away en route to the Americas. To repeat, about 1.9 million humans died and were buried
at sea or thrown overboard because they were sick or went insane during these voyages to the Americas. Portugal was by far the largest (46.7\%) importer of African people to the Americas, and Great Britain was second ( $26 \%$ ) during the slave trade period from approximately 1501 to 1866 . Ships under the United States flag also imported more than a quarter of a million African people from their homeland to the Americas during the transatlantic slave trade. Spain imported 877 Africans to the Americas in 1866.

Table 2.2.1: Number and percentage of enslaved Africans imported to the Americas by various European powers and the United States: 1501-1866.

| Timeline of Voyages | Importation by Country and Number of Enslaved Africans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spain / <br> Uruguay | Portugal / <br> Brazil | Great <br> Britain | Nether- <br> lands | United <br> States | France | Denmark <br> / Baltic | Embarked Totals |
| 1501-1525 | 6,363 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,363 |
| 1525-1550 | 25,375 | 25,387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,762 |
| 1551-1575 | 28,167 | 31,089 | 1,685 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 61,007 |
| 1576-1600 | 60,056 | 90,715 | 237 | 1,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152,373 |
| 1601-1625 | 83,496 | 267,519 | 0 | 1,829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352,844 |
| 1626-1650 | 44,313 | 201,609 | 33,695 | 31,729 | 824 | 1,827 | 1,053 | 315,050 |
| 1651-1675 | 12,601 | 244,793 | 122,367 | 100,526 | 0 | 7,125 | 653 | 488,065 |
| 1676-1700 | 5,860 | 297,272 | 272,200 | 85,847 | 3,327 | 29,484 | 25,685 | 719,675 |
| 1701-1725 | 0 | 474,447 | 410,597 | 73,816 | 3,277 | 120,939 | 5,833 | 1,088,909 |
| 1726-1750 | 0 | 536,696 | 554,042 | 83,095 | 34,004 | 259,095 | 4,793 | 1,471,725 |
| 1751-1775 | 4,239 | 528,693 | 832,047 | 132,330 | 84,580 | 325,918 | 17,508 | 1,925,315 |
| 1776-1800 | 6,415 | 673,167 | 748,612 | 40,773 | 67,443 | 433,061 | 39,199 | 2,008,670 |
| 1801-1825 | 168,087 | 1,160,601 | 283,959 | 2,669 | 109,545 | 135,815 | 16,316 | 1,876,992 |
| 1826-1850 | 400,728 | 1,299,969 | 0 | 357 | 1,850 | 68,074 | 0 | 1,770,978 |
| 1851-1866 | 215,824 | 9,309 | 0 | 0 | 476 | 0 | 0 | 225,609 |
| Totals | 1,061,524 | 5,848,266 | 3,259,441 | 554,336 | 305,326 | 1,381,404 | 111,040 | 12,521,337 |
| Percentage | 8.5\% | 46.7\% | 26.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 11.0\% | 0.9\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Slave Voyages Database (Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade—Estimates).

## Chapter 3

# Colonial America: 1630-1780 

Population Proportion in the Colonies and States

3.1 Colonial America-Population Growth Timeline: 1630-1780

TThe graphs shown in Figures 3.1.1-3.1.4 depict the population growth during the colonial period-13 original colonies-for the two historically largest racial categories from about 1630 to $1780 . \dagger$ The graphs in the figures depict the colonies combined, North and South. South Carolina is particularly interesting because the Black enslaved population and their descendants made up most of the state's population from 1720 to about 1920. The categories in this report during the colonial period were White and Black; during the antebellum period, the categories were White, Black, free Black, enslaved, and All

Others. The orange area in Figure 3.1.4 represents the Black population, which portrays a majority of the graph in Figure 3.1.4. This means that the Black population exceeded the White population.

The North was sparsely populated with Black inhabitants; therefore, the orange area (Figure 3.1.2) representing Black inhabitants is so small that it is barely discernible from the blue area as compared with Figure 3.1.3 and 3.1.4, which are consistent with the fact that almost all Black inhabitants were enslaved and concentrated in the agricultural South in demand of intense labor. See Table 3.3.1.


Figure 3.1.1: Colonies-population growth: 1630-1780.*

## Colonial South—Population <br> Growth: 1630-1780



## Colonial South Carolina-Population Growth: 1670-1780



Figure 3.1.3: South—population growth: 1630-1780.* Figure 3.1.4: South Carolina-population growth: 1670-1780.*
3.1 Colonial America-Population Growth Timeline: 1630-1780, cont.

The graph in Figure 3.1.5 depicts the timeline of the percentage of Black residents relative to total population of the combined colonies, the North, South, and South Carolina. The eight colonies in the South were Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia. The nine colonies in the North were Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont. Few (circa five) of these colonies were permanent settled by 1630 , which is the start of the graph. $\dagger$ For example, South Carolina was permanently settled about 1663 .

South Carolina was compared with the combined total of the colonies in the North and South shown in Figure 3.1.5 with an increasing percentage of enslaved Black residents 113 years before the colonies declared their independence on July 4, 1776, when they severed ties with Britain and formed the United

States. Slavery continued in South Carolina and many of the colonies after the founding of the United States until after the Civil War ended in 1865.

The orange line in Figure 3.1.5 depicts the percentage of Black persons in South Carolina for 113 years after the state was founded as a British colony in 1663. The blue line in Figure 3.1.5 depicts the percentage of enslaved persons living in the South. The percentage of Black persons per capita in South Carolina (Orange line) is significantly higher than the overall South (Blue line). $\ddagger$ The maroon line depicts the percentage of enslaved persons living in the North over 150 years. The yellow represents the combined total of the colonies, which depicts a smaller percentage of persons enslaved. As can be seen, the percentage of enslaved persons living in the North is small; therefore, this skewed down the combined average of North and South to a much lower percentage from 1630 to 1780 .

## Colonial America-Percentage of Black Residents per Capita for the North, South, Combined North and South, and South Carolina: 1630-1780



Figure 3.1.5: Percentage timeline of Black residents in the colonies: 1630-1780. Source: Table 3.3.1

[^4]
### 3.2 Thirteen Original Colonies-Percentage Proportion of Black Residents: 1780

TThe graph in Figure 3.2.1 depicts the seventeen states in the year 1780 that were formed under colonialism with the thirteen original colonies and percentage of the population who were Black residents in 1780. South Carolina had the largest percentage of Black residents (54\%), with Virginia second ( $41 \%$ ) of its population who were Black residents. On average-in these two states-about 98\% of Black residents were enslaved and in all southern colonies and subsequently states, and about $94 \%$ of Black residents were enslaved. The population for each former colony is shown in parenthesis after the name of the state. The percentage is a more accurate
measure of Black residents in a state because it measures the number of Black residents relative to total population. For example, the difference between the Black populations of Georgia and Rhode Island is about 3,000; however, Georgia's per capita percentage is 32.2 percentage points higher than Rhode Island. Moreover, Virginia had about three times the number of Black residents compared to South Carolina, but its percent per capita trailed South Carolina by almost thirteen percentage points (Figure 3.2.1). Colonial America became the United States of America after the colonies declared their independence from the British on July 4, 1776. $\dagger$ See Table 3.3.2.


Figure 3.2.1: Percentage proportion of Black residents in the thirteen original colonies: 1780. Source: Table 3.3.2

[^5]
### 3.3 Tabular Analysis: 1630-1780

TThe data in Tables 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 were used to construct Figures 3.1.1-3.1.4. These figures depict the percentage of White and Black residents for all colonies, North, South, and South Carolina. The total percentage of all Black residents for the combined colonies (Figure 3.1.1) is misleading
because the colonies in the north had fewer Black residents. Therefore, the $20.7 \%$ is not a good measure (see bottom row in Table 3.3.2). A more accurate measure is viewing the colonies by North and South separately. See Figures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 for North and South analysis after the United States was founded.

Table 3.3.1: Colonies-Black residents of the North, South, and South Carolina: 1630-1780.*

|  | Total Population |  |  | Percentage of Black Residents |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timeline | North | South | South Carolina | North | South | South Carolina |
| 1630 | 2,146 | 2,500 | --- | $0.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1640 | 15,609 | 10,442 | --- | $1.8 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | --- |
| 1650 | 26,948 | 23,420 | --- | $3.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1660 | 38,072 | 36,986 | --- | $3.1 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | --- |
| 1670 | 58,650 | 53,285 | 200 | $1.9 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 1680 | 82,372 | 69,135 | 1,200 | $2.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 1690 | 120,320 | 90,052 | 3,900 | $2.8 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| 1700 | 143,830 | 107,058 | 5,704 | $3.6 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ |
| 1710 | 181,041 | 150,671 | 10,883 | $4.6 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |
| 1720 | 268,592 | 167,593 | 17,048 | $5.2 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ |
| 1730 | 355,162 | 274,283 | 30,000 | $4.9 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 1740 | 490,379 | 415,184 | 45,000 | $4.9 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 1750 | 627,766 | 542,994 | 64,000 | $4.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| 1760 | 844,288 | 749,337 | 94,074 | $4.4 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| 1770 | $1,101,446$ | $1,046,630$ | 124,244 | $4.4 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| 1780 | $1,390,302$ | $1,390,067$ | 180,000 | $4.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ |

Table 3.3.2: Former colonies-total population and percentage of Black residents: 1780.*

| State | Total Population | Black Population | Percent Black |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut | 206,701 | 5,885 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Delaware | 45,385 | 2,996 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Georgia | 56,071 | 20,831 | $37.2 \%$ |
| Kentucky | 45,000 | 7,200 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Maine | 49,133 | 458 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Maryland | 245,474 | 80,515 | $32.8 \%$ |
| Massachusetts | 268,627 | 4,822 | $1.8 \%$ |
| New Hampshire | 87,802 | 541 | $0.6 \%$ |
| New Jersey | 139,627 | 10,460 | $7.5 \%$ |
| New York | 210,541 | 21,054 | $10.0 \%$ |
| North Carolina | 270,133 | 91,000 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Pennsylvania | 327,305 | 7,855 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Rhode Island | 52,946 | 2,671 | $5.0 \%$ |
| South Carolina | 180,000 | 97,000 | $53.9 \%$ |
| Tennessee | 10,000 | 1,500 | $15.0 \%$ |
| Vermont | 47,620 | 50 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Virginia | 538,004 | 220,582 | $41.0 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8 0 , 3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 5 , 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 \%}$ |

[^6]3.3 Tabular Analysis: 1630-1780, cont

Table 3.3.3: Colonies—population proportion of Black residents:1630-1780.*

| Timeline $\rightarrow$ | 1780 |  | 1770 |  | 1760 |  | 1750 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colony $\downarrow$ | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black |
| Connecticut | 206,701 | 5,885 | 183,881 | 5,698 | 142,470 | 3,783 | 111,280 | 3,010 |
| Delaware | 45,385 | 2,996 | 35,496 | 1,836 | 33,250 | 1,733 | 28,704 | 1,496 |
| Georgia | 56,071 | 20,831 | 23,375 | 10,625 | 9,578 | 3,578 | 5,200 | 1,000 |
| Kentucky | 45,000 | 7,200 | 15,700 | 2,500 | --- | --- |  |  |
| Maine | 49,133 | 458 | 31,257 | 475 | 20,000 |  |  |  |
| Maryland | 245,474 | 80,515 | 202,599 | 63,818 | 162,267 | 49,004 | 141,073 | 43,450 |
| Massachusetts | 268,627 | 4,822 | 235,308 | 4,754 | 202,600 | 4,866 | 188,000 | 4,075 |
| New Hampshire | 87,802 | 541 | 62,396 | 654 | 39,093 | 600 | 27,505 | 550 |
| New Jersey | 139,627 | 10,460 | 117,431 | 8,220 | 93,813 | 3,567 | 71,393 | 5,354 |
| New York | 210,541 | 21,054 | 162,920 | 19,112 | 117,138 | 16,340 | 76,696 | 11,014 |
| North Carolina | 270,133 | 91,000 | 197,200 | 69,600 | 110,442 | 33,554 | 72,984 | 19,800 |
| Pennsylvania | 327,305 | 7,855 | 240,057 | 5,761 | 183,703 | 4,409 | 119,666 | 2,872 |
| Plymouth | Plymouth | erged w | th the Mas | chusetts B | Bay Colony | and other | territories | in $1691 \rightarrow$ |
| Rhode Island | 52,946 | 2,671 | 58,196 | 3,761 | 45,471 | 3,468 | 33,226 | 3,347 |
| South Carolina | 180,000 | 97,000 | 124,244 | 75,178 | 94,074 | 57,334 | 64,000 | 39,000 |
| Tennessee | 10,000 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 200 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vermont | 47,620 | 50 | 10,000 | 25 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Virginia | 538,004 | 220,582 | 447,016 | 187,605 | 339,726 | 140,570 | 231,033 | 101,452 |
| Total | 2,780,369 | 575,420 | 2,148,076 | 459,822 | 1,593,625 | 322,806 | 1,170,760 | 236,420 |

Table 3.3.3: Colonies-population proportion of Black residents: 1630-1780, cont.*

| Timeline $\rightarrow$ | 1740 |  | 1730 |  | $\mathbf{1 7 2 0}$ |  | 1710 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colony $\downarrow$ | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black |
| Connecticut | 89,580 | 2,598 | 75,530 | 1,490 | 58,830 | 1,093 | 39,450 | 750 |
| Delaware | 19,870 | 1,035 | 9,170 | 478 | 5,385 | 700 | 3,645 | 500 |
| Georgia | 2,021 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kentucky | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- |
| Maine | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Maryland | 116,093 | 24,031 | 91,113 | 17,220 | 66,133 | 12,499 | 42,741 | 7,945 |
| Massachusetts | 151,613 | 3,035 | 114,116 | 2,780 | 91,008 | 2,150 | 62,390 | 1,310 |
| New Hampshire | 23,256 | 500 | 10,755 | 200 | 9,375 | 170 | 5,681 | 150 |
| New Jersey | 51,373 | 4,366 | 37,510 | 3,008 | 29,818 | 2,385 | 19,872 | 1,332 |
| New York | 63,665 | 8,996 | 48,594 | 6,956 | 36,919 | 5,740 | 21,625 | 2,811 |
| North Carolina | 51,760 | 11,000 | 30,000 | 6,000 | 21,270 | 3,000 | 15,120 | 900 |
| Pennsylvania | 85,637 | 2,055 | 51,707 | 1,241 | 30,962 | 2,000 | 24,450 | 1,575 |
| Plymouth | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rhode Island | 25,255 | 2,408 | 16,950 | 1,648 | 11,680 | 543 | 7,573 | 375 |
| South Carolina | 45,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 17,048 | 12,000 | 10,883 | 4,100 |
| Tennessee | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vermont | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Virginia | 180,440 | 60,000 | 114,000 | 30,000 | 87,757 | 26,559 | 78,281 | 23,118 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 0 5 , 5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 9 , 4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 6 , 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1 , 7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 8 6 6}$ |

*Source: Historical Statistics of the United Statesivision.*
3.3 Tabular Analysis: 1630-1780, cont

Table 3.3.3: Colonies-population proportion of Black residents: 1630-1780, cont.*

| Timeline $\rightarrow$ | 1700 |  | $\mathbf{1 6 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 6 8 0}$ |  | 1670 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colony $\downarrow$ | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black |
| Connecticut | 25,970 | 450 | 21,645 | 200 | 17,246 | 50 | 12,603 | 35 |
| Delaware | 2,470 | 135 | 1,482 | 82 | 1,005 | 55 | 700 | 40 |
| Georgia | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kentucky | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Maine | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Maryland | 29,604 | 3,227 | 24,024 | 2,162 | 17,904 | 1,611 | 13,226 | 1,190 |
| Massachusetts | 55,941 | 800 | 49,504 | 400 | 39,752 | 170 | 30,000 | 160 |
| New Hampshire | 4,958 | 130 | 4,164 | 100 | 2,047 | 75 | 1,805 | 65 |
| New Jersey | 14,010 | 840 | 8,000 | 450 | 3,400 | 200 | 1,000 | 60 |
| New York | 19,107 | 2,256 | 13,909 | 1,670 | 9,830 | 1,200 | 5,754 | 690 |
| North Carolina | 10,720 | 415 | 7,600 | 300 | 5,430 | 210 | 3,850 | 150 |
| Pennsylvania | 17,950 | 430 | 11,450 | 270 | 680 | 25 |  | --- |
| Plymouth | --- | --- | 7,424 | --- | 6,400 | --- | 5,333 | --- |
| Rhode Island | 5,894 | 300 | 4,224 | 250 | 3,017 | 175 | 2,155 | 115 |
| South Carolina | 5,704 | 2,444 | 3,900 | 1,500 | 1,200 | 200 | 200 | 30 |
| Tennessee | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vermont | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- |
| Virginia | 58,560 | 16,390 | 53,046 | 9,345 | 43,596 | 3,000 | 35,309 | 2,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 8 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 , 3 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 3 5}$ |

Table 3.3.3: Colonies—population proportion of Black residents: 1630-1780, cont.*

| Timeline $\rightarrow$ | 1660 |  | 1650 |  | 1640 |  | 1630 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colony $\downarrow$ | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black | Total | Black |
| Connecticut | 7,980 | 25 | 4,139 | 20 | 1,472 | 15 | --- | --- |
| Delaware | 540 | 30 | 185 | 15 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Georgia | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kentucky | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- |
| Maine | --- | --- | 1,000 | --- | 900 | --- | 400 | --- |
| Maryland | 8,426 | 758 | 4,504 | 300 | 583 | 20 | --- | --- |
| Massachusetts | 20,082 | 422 | 14,037 | 295 | 8,932 | --- | 506 | --- |
| New Hampshire | 1,555 | 50 | 1,305 | 40 | 1,055 | 30 | 500 |  |
| New Jersey | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| New York | 4,936 | 600 | 4,116 | 500 | 1,930 | 232 | 350 | 10 |
| North Carolina | 1,000 | 20 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pennsylvania | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Plymouth | 1,980 |  | 1,566 | --- | 1,020 | --- | 390 | --- |
| Rhode Island | 1,539 | 65 | 785 | 25 | 300 | --- | --- | --- |
| South Carolina | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tennessee | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vermont | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | 150 | --- | --- |
| Virginia | 27,020 | 950 | 18,731 | 405 | 10,442 | 150 | 2,500 | 50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

[^7]
## Chapter 4

# Antebellum America: 1790-1860 

Population Growth and Proportion by Region, State, and Category

## 4.1: North and South—Percentage of Free Black by Region and State: 1790 and 1860

TThe graph in Figure 4.1.1 depicts the percentage proportion of free Black people living in nine of the 17 states (North and South) enumerated in the first census in 1790. The other eight of 17 are in the South (see Figure 4.1.2). The 17 states were carved
out from the original 13 colonies-see note under Figure 4.7.5 on page 32. The graphs in Figures 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 compare the sames states with free Black people depicted in the 1790 and 1860 censuses. See Tables 4.8.2 and 4.8.4.

## North-Percentage Proportion of the Free Black Population

 Relative to Total Population: 1790 and 1860

Figure 4.1.1: North—percentage proportion of free Black residents: 1790 and 1860.*


Figure 4.1.2: South—percentage proportion of free Black residents: 1790 and 1860.*
*Source: Tables 4.8.2 and 4.8.4

### 4.2 North and South-Percentage of Enslaved People by Region and State: 1790 and 1860

The graphs in Figure 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 depict the percentage proportion comparison of enslaved people living in nine of the 17 states enumerated in the first census in 1790 to the census in 1860. There were 17 states (North and South) from the
original 13 colonies enumerated in the first census in 1790. See note under Figure 4.7 .5 on page 32. For example, South Carolina had more enslaved residents than White residents in 1860 (see Figure 4.2.2). See Tables 4.8.2 and 4.8.4.


Figure 4.2.1: North—percentage proportion of free Black residents: 1790 and 1860.*

## South-Percentage Proportion of Enslaved Population Relative to Total Population: 1790 and 1860



Figure 4.2.2: South—percentage proportion of enslaved residents: 1790 and 1860.*
*Source: Tables 4.8.2 and 4.8.4

### 4.3 South—Percentage Proportion Comparison by Region and State: 1790 and 1860

The graphs shown in Figures 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 depict the same information as Figure 4.2 .2 with an additional category of White. The North was $96 \%$ White (Table 4.8.6). See Table 4.8.4

$\square$ White (1790) $\quad \square$ Enslaved (1790) $\quad \square$ Free Black (1790)
Figure 4.3.1: South— percentage proportion comparison of White, free Black, and enslaved residents: 1790.*


Figure 4.3.2: South—percentage proportion comparison of White, free Black, and enslaved residents: 1860.*
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4.4 Slave States—Percentage Proportion of Enslaved People by Rank and State: 1860

The graph in Figure 4.4.1 depicts the percentage of residents in the fifteen slave states whereas the previous three sections analyzed the North and South. The slave states consists of the Southern states and slave states admitted after the founding of the new country. The number in parenthesis after each state in Figure 4.4.1 is its population.

In 1860, the percentage of enslaved people per capita exceeded the White population in both South Carolina and Mississippi. $\dagger$ The overall percentage of enslaved residents relative to the total population of the fifteen slave states combined was $32 \%$. On the eve of the Civil War, which began on April 12, 1861, if Delaware and Maryland are omitted, 93.4\%
of Black residents in thirteen of the fifteen slave states are enslaved. To emphasize, thirteen of the fifteen slave states enslaved more than $93 \%$ of its Black residents (see Figure 4.5.1).

Scholars are relatively mute when comparing the brutal treatment of African people who were enslaved in the Americas, especially the United States, to slavery in other parts of the world. Even after the Thirteenth Amendment to the US Constitution was ratified on December 12, 1865, which constitutionally abolished slavery, Black residents continued to live under conditions similar to those under slavery. See Table 4.8.5.

## Slave States-Percentage Proportion of Enslaved Population Relative to Total Population per State: 1860



Figure 4.4.1: Slave States-percentage of enslaved population relative to the total population: 1860.*
Source: Table 4.8.5

[^8]
### 4.5 Slave States—Percentage of Black Residents by State Who are Enslaved: 1860

The graph in Figure 4.5.1 depicts the percentage of Black residents in the fifteen states who were enslaved per their population within each state's total population. For example, Georgia total population in 1860 was $1,057,248$ and the total of its Black population was 465,698 which resulted in $43.7 \%$ of the total population. Of the 465,698 Black residents, $99.2 \%$ were enslaved. The numbers shown
in parenthesis after each state represent the Black population. In only three states were fewer than $90 \%$ of their Black residents enslaved. In the remaining twelve states, $97.5 \%$ of their Black residents were enslaved. $\dagger$

On the eve of the Civil War, which began on April 12,1861 , five of the fifteen slave states enslaved more than $99 \%$ of their Black residents. See Table 4.8.5.

Slave States-Percentage Proportion of Enslaved Residents Relative to Total Black Residents per State: 1860


Figure 4.5.1: Slave States—percentage of Black residents who were enslaved: 1860.

## Source: Table 4.8.5

$\dagger$ Comment: Victims of a brutal, dehumanizing system, slaves nevertheless strove to create order in their lives, to preserve their humanity, to achieve dignity, and to sustain dreams of a better future (Morgan 1998).
4.6 Secession-Comparison of Enslaved People and Slaveholding Families: 1860-1861

The graph in Figure 4.6 .1 depicts the order of secession in which slave states seceded from the union, percentages of families who owned enslaved people in those states, and the percentage of enslaved people relative to the total population of the state.

South Carolina had the largest percentage of enslaved residents ( $57.2 \%$ ) and was the first state to secede from the Union. The state had the second highest percentage of families who owned enslaved people (47.1\%) in 1860, which placed South Carolina
second only to Mississippi at 49.2\%. The Civil War begin on April 12, 1861, after which seven of the fifteen slave states had already seceded from the Union. These first seven seceding states formed the Confederacy on February 8, 1861, after which four more seceding states were added. Tennessee was the last state to secede on June 8, 1861. Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, and Delaware were the four states out of fifteen slave states that did not secede from the Union. See Table 4.9.1.

Fifteen Slave States-Order of Secession from the Union, Percentage of Enslaved People per Capita, and Percentage of Slaveholding Families: 1860-1861

$\square$ Percentage of Enslaved Persons (1860) $\square$ Percentage of Slaveholding Families (1860)
Figure 4.6.1: Secession—order of secession, percentage enslaved and slaveholding families: 1860-861.
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### 4.7 Free and Slave States-Maps and Population Proportion

TThe map shown in Figure 4.7.1 depicts the free states, slave states, and territories in 1853. This was about eight years before the Civil War. The pie charts in Figures 4.7.2 and 4.7.3 show the proportion of slaves states and free states. The territories are not included in the pie charts.

The total population of free and slave states combined is $31,038,526$. The percentage population proportion for the eighteen free states ( $60.6 \%$ ) and
the fifteen slave states $(39.4 \%) . \dagger$ The percentage of enslaved people in the slave states indicate $32.3 \%$. This average is skewed because states such as Delaware and Maryland had significantly fewer enslaved people than their counterparts in 1860. For example, enslaved people in Delaware (1.6\%) compared to South Carolina (57.2\%). See Tables 4.8.5 and 4.8.6.

States and Territories of the United States of America September 9, 1850-March 2, 1853


Figure 4.7.1: Map of free states (non-slave states, and territories in 1853.


Figure 4.7.2: Free states' proportion by population count and percentage: 1860 .

Source: Tables 4.8.6 and 4.8.7
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### 4.7 Free and Slave States-Maps and Population Proportion, cont.

TThe map in Figure 4.7.4 shows an image map of the original thirteen colonies. The map in Figure 4.7.5 shows the breakout of the United States of America: Union States, Border States, Confederate States, and Territories.

There were twenty Union States as follow: (1) Maine, (2) New York, (3) New Hampshire, (4) Vermont, (5) Massachusetts, (6) Connecticut, (7) Rhode Island, (8) Pennsylvania, (9) New Jersey, (10) Ohio, (11) Indiana, (12) Illinois, (13) Kansas, (14) Michigan, (15) Wisconsin, (16) Minnesota, (17) Iowa, (18) California,(19) Nevada, and (20) Oregon. Abraham Lincoln was their president.

There were fifteen slave states and eleven seceded from the Union to form the Confederacy. The Confederate States were: (1) Texas, (2) Arkansas, (3) Louisiana, (4) Tennessee, (5) Mississippi, (6) Alabama, (7) Georgia, (8) Florida, (9) South Carolina, (10) North Carolina and (11) Virginia. Jefferson Davis was their president. The four slave states that did not secede from the Union were Delaware, Maryland

## The Thirteen Original Colonies



Figure 4.7.4: Excerpt from United states map of the thirteen original colonies.

Missouri, and Kentucky.
On the eve of the Civil war, the population of the slave states was 12.3 million people and 4.2 million Black people and 3.95 million of the 4.2 million were enslaved. The Confederate population was 9.1 million people, White ( 5.5 million), Free Black (132.7 thousand), enslaved Black people ( 3.5 million), and the total Black population ( 3.7 million). Putting the Confederate population in a percentage perspective: White (59.9\%), Free Black (1.5\%), and Enslaved Black (38.7\%). The total Black population in the Confederate States (40.1\%). On the eve of the Civil War, the Union population was about 18.8 million people with only 18 legally enslaved inhabitants according to the 1860 census.

The information in Figures 4.7.2 and 4.7.3 show the population proportion of free states and slave states. All slave states did not secede from the Union; therefore, the Confederate population was about 3 million people fewer than the total population of slave states with 9.1 million people or half the size (49.2\%) compared to the Union population of 18.8 million.

Map of the Union and Confederacy


Figure 4.7.5: United States map of states-Union, border, Confederate, and territories.
Note: The map in Figure 4.7.4 depicts the original thirteen colonies. Subsequently, the following states were carved out of four of the 13 original colonies, which increased the total colonies to seventeen: (1) Maine was carved out of Massachusetts, (2) Vermont was carved out New York, (3) Kentucky was carved out of Virginia, and (4) Tennessee was carved out of North Carolina. When the Declaration of Independence on July 4, 1776, was signed the original thirteen colonies area comprised of seventeen separate colonies. To emphasize, the seventeen separate colonies comprised the same landmass as the original thirteen colonies. Only four of the eleven states that seceded from the Union were listed as part of the original thirteen colonies (see Figure 4.7.5). Namely, (1) Georgia, (2) South Carolina, (3) North Carolina, and (4) Virginia.

### 4.8 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860

The tabular data in Tables 4.8.1 and 4.8.2 shown below were used to construct Figures 4.1.1 and 4.1.2. The tables depict the population and percentage proportion of the categories for census years 1790 and 1860, respectively. The changes from the number
of slaves in the north in 1790 to 1860 show almost no Black residents still enslaved. Note: Table 4.8.2, which shows percentage, is a continuation of Table 4.8.1 showing percentage. The idea is to compare the same states 1790 with 1860 .

Table 4.8.1: North—population count comparison by state and category: 1790 and 1860.*

| North | Population |  | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 |
| Connecticut | 237,886 | 460,147 | 232,374 | 451,504 | 2,808 | 8,627 | 2,764 | 0 |
| Maine | 96,540 | 628,279 | 96,002 | 626,947 | 538 | 1,327 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts | 378,787 | 1,231,066 | 373,324 | 1,221,432 | 5,463 | 9,602 | 0 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | 141,795 | 326,073 | 141,007 | 325,570 | 630 | 494 | 158 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 184,139 | 672,035 | 169,954 | 646,699 | 2,762 | 25,318 | 11,423 | 18 |
| New York | 340,120 | 3,880,735 | 314,142 | 3,831,590 | 4,654 | 49,005 | 21,324 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | 434,373 | 2,906,215 | 424,099 | 2,849,259 | 6,537 | 56,949 | 3,737 | 0 |
| Rhode Island | 68,825 | 174,620 | 64,470 | 170,649 | 3,407 | 3,952 | 948 | 0 |
| Vermont | 85,696 | 315,098 | 85,154 | 314,369 | 271 | 709 | 0 | 0 |
| Total North | 1,968,161 | 10,594,268 | 1,900,526 | 10,438,028 | 27,070 | 155,983 | 40,354 | 18 |
| Percentage of National $\dagger$ | 50.1\% | 58.8\% | 59.9\% | 68.0\% | 45.5\% | 41.0\% | 5.8\% | $\approx 0 \%$ |

Table 4.8.2: North—percentage population comparison by state and category: 1790 and 1860.*

| North | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  | Of All Blacks: Free Black |  | Of All Blacks: Enslaved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 |
| Connecticut | 97.7\% | 98.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 50.4\% | 100\% | 49.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Maine | 99.4\% | 99.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 98.6\% | 99.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| New Hampshire | 99.4\% | 99.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 79.9\% | 100\% | 20.1\% | 0.0\% |
| New Jersey | 92.3\% | 96.2\% | 1.5\% | 3.8\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.5\% | 99.9\% | 80.5\% | 0.1\% |
| New York | 92.4\% | 98.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 100\% | 82.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Pennsylvania | 97.6\% | 98.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 100\% | 36.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 93.7\% | 97.7\% | 5.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 78.2\% | 100\% | 21.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Vermont | 99.4\% | 99.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Percentage of North | 96.6\% | 98.5\% | 3.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 40.1\% | 100\% | 59.9\% | $\approx 0 \%$ |

*Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
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### 4.8 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont.

The tabular data in Tables 4.8.3 and 4.8.4 shown below were used to construct Figures 4.3.1 and 4.3.2.The tables depict the populations and percentages proportion of the categories for the census years, 1790 and 1860. The overall number of enslaved
people in these eight southern states increased by $246 \%$ from 1790 to 1860 . Note: Table 4.8.4, which shows percentages, is computed from Table 4.8.3. The idea for this table is to compare the same states from 1790 with 1860.

Table 4.8.3: South—population count comparison by state and category: 1790 and 1860.

| South | Population |  | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 | 1790 | 1860 |
| Delaware | 59,096 | 112,216 | 46,310 | 90,589 | 3,899 | 19,829 | 8,887 | 1,798 |
| Georgia | 82,548 | 1,057,286 | 52,886 | 591,550 | 398 | 3,500 | 29,264 | 462,198 |
| Kentucky | 73,677 | 1,155,684 | 61,133 | 919,484 | 114 | 10,684 | 12,430 | 225,483 |
| Maryland | 319,728 | 687,049 | 208,649 | 515,918 | 8,043 | 83,942 | 103,036 | 87,189 |
| North Carolina | 393,751 | 992,622 | 288,204 | 629,942 | 4,975 | 30,463 | 100,572 | 331,059 |
| South Carolina | 249,073 | 703,796 | 140,178 | 291,388 | 1,801 | 9,914 | 107,094 | 402,406 |
| Tennessee | 35,691 | 1,109,801 | 31,913 | 826,722 | 361 | 7,300 | 3,417 | 275,719 |
| Virginia | 747,610 | 1,596,318 | 442,117 | 1,047,299 | 12,866 | 58,042 | 292,627 | 490,865 |
| Total South | 1,961,174 | 7,414,772 | 1,271,390 | 4,912,892 | 32,457 | 223,674 | 657,327 | 2,276,717 |
| Percentage of National $\dagger$ | 49.9\% | 41.2\% | 40.1\% | 32.0\% | 54.5\% | 59.0\% | 94.2\% | 100\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
Table 4.8.4: South—percentage population comparison by state and category: 1790 and 1860.

|  | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  | Of All Blacks: <br> Percent Free |  | Of All Blacks: <br> Percent Enslaved |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ |
| Delaware | $78.4 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Georgia | $64.1 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| Kentucky | $83.0 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ |
| Maryland | $65.3 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| North Carolina | $73.2 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| South Carolina | $56.3 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| Tennessee | $89.4 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ |
| Virginia | $59.1 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ |
| Percentage of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | $\mathbf{6 4 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^12]4.8 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, $\dagger$ cont.

TThe tabular data in Table 4.8 .5 shown below were used to construct Figures 4.4.1 and 4.7.3. The table depicts the percentage proportion of the categories for the census year 1860 for the fifteen slave states. For example, in South Carolina, Table 4.8.5, the heading labeled Black shows $97.6 \%$ and
the heading "Enslaved" shows $57.2 \%$. This means that of the total Black population $(412,320)$ in South Carolina, $97.2 \%$ were enslaved. Hence, Figure 4.4.1 shows percentage of enslaved residents per capita, and Figure 4.5 .1 shows the percentage of the Black population who were enslaved.

Table 4.8.5: Slave states-population and percentage by state and category: 1860.

|  | Population Category |  |  |  |  | Percentage Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slave States | Population | White | Free <br> Black | Enslaved | Black | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Of All <br> Blacks: <br> Percent <br> Enslaved |
| Alabama | 964,201 | 526,271 | 2,690 | 435,080 | 437,770 | 54.6\% | 0.3\% | 45.1\% | 99.4\% |
| Arkansas | 435,450 | 324,143 | 144 | 111,115 | 111,259 | 74.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.5\% | 99.9\% |
| Delaware | 112,216 | 90,589 | 19,829 | 1,798 | 21,627 | 80.7\% | 17.7\% | 1.6\% | 8.3\% |
| Florida | 140,424 | 77,74 | 932 | 61,745 | 62,677 | 55.3\% | 0.7\% | 44.0\% | 98.5\% |
| Georgia | 1,057,286 | 591,550 | 3,500 | 462,198 | 465,698 | 56.0\% | 0.3\% | 43.7\% | 99.2\% |
| Kentucky | 1,155,684 | 919,484 | 10,684 | 225,483 | 236,167 | 79.6\% | 0.9\% | 19.5\% | 95.5\% |
| Louisiana | 708,002 | 357,456 | 18,647 | 331,726 | 350,373 | 50.5\% | 2.6\% | 46.9\% | 94.7\% |
| Maryland | 687,049 | 515,918 | 83,942 | 87,189 | 171,131 | 75.1\% | 12.2\% | 12.7\% | 50.9\% |
| Mississippi | 791,305 | 353,89 | 773 | 436,631 | 437,404 | 44.7\% | 0.1\% | 55.2\% | 99.8\% |
| Missouri | 1,182,012 | 1,063,489 | 3,572 | 114,931 | 118,503 | 90.0\% | 0.3\% | 9.7\% | 97.0\% |
| North Carolina | 992,622 | 629,942 | 30,463 | 331,059 | 361,522 | 63.5\% | 3.1\% | 33.4\% | 91.6\% |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,300 | 9,914 | 402,406 | 412,320 | 41.4\% | 1.4\% | 57.2\% | 97.6\% |
| Tennessee | 1,109,801 | 826,722 | 7,300 | 275,719 | 283,019 | 74.5\% | 0.7\% | 24.8\% | 97.4\% |
| Texas | 604,215 | 420,891 | 355 | 182,566 | 182,921 | 69.7\% | 0.1\% | 30.2\% | 99.8\% |
| Virginia | 1,596,318 | 1,047,299 | 58,042 | 490,865 | 548,907 | 65.6\% | 3.6\% | 30.8\% | 89.4\% |
| Slave States $\ddagger$ | 12,240,293 | 8,036,699 | 250,787 | 3,950,511 | 4,201,298 | 65.7\% | 2.0\% | 32.3\% | 34.3\% |
| Confederate States | 9,103,332 | 5,447,219 | 132,760 | 3,521,110 | 3,653,870 | 59.8\% | 1.5\% | 38.7\% | 40.1\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
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### 4.8 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont.

The tabular analysis data in Table 4.8 .6 shown below were used to construct Figure 4.7.2. The table depicts a total of 18,799,413 residents among the eighteen states in 1860,* but only 225,224 Free Black ( $1.2 \%$ of the population) and 18 enslaved residents were listed in the 1860 census for New

Jersey. Therefore, the population of free Black is the same for Black residents plus 18. In the interest of space, an enslaved column is not shown in the table because the "All Others" category represent only $0.3 \%$ of the total free state population. The map in Figure 4.7 .1 shows the layout of the free states.

Table 4.8.6: Free states-populations percentage by state and category: 1860.

|  | Population Category |  |  |  |  | Percentage Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free States $\dagger$ | Population | White | Free <br> Black | Black | All <br> Others | White | Free <br> Black | Black | All Others |
| California | 379,994 | 323,177 | 4,086 | 4,086 | 17,798 | 85.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 13.9\% |
| Connecticut | 460,147 | 451,504 | 8,627 | 8,627 | 16 | 98.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Illinois | 1,711,951 | 1,704,291 | 7,628 | 7,628 | 32 | 99.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Indiana | 1,350,428 | 1,338,710 | 11,428 | 11,428 | 290 | 99.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Iowa | 673,779 | 673,779 | 1,06 | 1,069 | 65 | 100.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Maine | 628,279 | 626,947 | 1,327 | 1,327 | --- | 99.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 1,231,066 | 1,221,432 | 9,60 | 9,602 | 32 | 99.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Michigan | 749,113 | 736,142 | 6,799 | 6,799 | 6,172 | 98.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% |
| Minnesota | 172,023 | 169,395 | 259 | 259 | 2,369 | 98.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% |
| New Hampshire | 326,073 | 325,579 | 494 | 494 | --- | 99.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| New Jersey | 672,035 | 646,699 | 25,318 | 25,336 | 16 | 96.2\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% |
| New York | 3,880,735 | 3,831,590 | 49,005 | 49,005 | 140 | 98.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Ohio | 2,339,511 | 2,302,808 | 36,673 | 36,673 | 30 | 98.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Oregon | 52,465 | 52,160 | 128 | 128 | 177 | 99.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Pennsylvania | 2,906,215 | 2,849,259 | 56,949 | 56,949 | 7 | 98.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 174,620 | 170,649 | 3,952 | 3,952 | 19 | 97.7\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Vermont | 315,098 | 314,369 | 709 | 709 | 20 | 99.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Wisconsin | 775,881 | 773,693 | 1,171 | 1,171 | 1,017 | 99.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Free States $\ddagger$ | 18,799,413 | 18,512,183 | 225,224 | 225,242 | 28,200 | 98.5\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.3\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
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### 4.9 Tabular Analysis: 1860-1870

The data in Table 4.9.1 depicts the population, order of secession of slave states from the Union, percentages of families who owned enslaved people, and percentage of enslaved people relative to the total population of the state. The percentage of enslaved people per state correlate generally with the secession date, which is a measure of slave labor impact on states' economics. For example, of the eleven states that seceded from the Union, all had a higher population per capita than the four states that did not secede from the Union. This phenomenon shines a profound light on the economic benefits for the state and White owners of enslaved people.

South Carolina was the first state to secede from
the Union on December 20, 1860 and Tennessee was the last of the eleven of fifteen states seceding from the Union on June 8, 1861. The four slave states that did not secede were Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, and Missouri. Of the four states that did not secede, less than $20 \%$ of their Black population was enslaved residents (see Figure 4.6.1). Over half of the populations in Mississippi and South Carolina was enslaved on the eve of the Civil War (see Figures 4.4.1 or 4.6.1).

Tennessee was the first state to be readmitted to the Union on July 24, 1866, whereas Georgia was the last stated to be readmitted to the Union on June 15, 1780 (see Table 4.9.1). See Figure 4.6.1.

Table 4.9.1: Slave states-order of secession, percentage of families owning enslaved people, percentage of enslaved people per state, and readmission date to the Union: 1860-1870.

| Slave States ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | Count | Status Category |  | Secession | Order of Readmission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Slave Holding Families | Enslaved | Date Seceded | Date Readmitted |
| Alabama | 964,201 | 35.1\% | 45.1\% | January 11, 1861 | July 13, 1868 |
| Arkansas | 435,450 | 20.1\% | 25.5\% | May 6, 1861 | June 22, 1868 |
| Delaware | 112,216 | 3.7\% | 1.6\% | Did not secede | N/A |
| Florida | 140,424 | 34.6\% | 44.0\% | January 10, 1861 | June 25, 1868 |
| Georgia | 1,057,286 | 37.6\% | 43.7\% | January 19,1861 | June 15, 1870 |
| Kentucky | 1,155,684 | 23.1\% | 19.5\% | Did not secede | N/A |
| Louisiana | 708,002 | 31.1\% | 46.9\% | January 26, 1861 | July 9, 1868 |
| Maryland | 687,049 | 13.9\% | 12.7\% | Did not secede | N/A |
| Mississippi | 791,305 | 49.2\% | 55.2\% | January 9, 1861 | February 23, 1870 |
| Missouri | 1,182,012 | 12.6\% | 9.7\% | Did not secede | N/A |
| North Carolina | 992,622 | 29.1\% | 33.4\% | May 20, 1861 | July 4, 1868 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 47.1\% | 57.2\% | December 20, 1860 | July 9, 1868 |
| Tennessee | 1,109,801 | 24.9\% | 24.8\% | June 8, 1861 | July 24, 1866 |
| Texas | 604,215 | 28.5\% | 30.2\% | February 1, 1861 | March 30, 1870 |
| Virginia | 1,596,318 | 27.3\% | 30.8\% | April 17, 1861 | January 26, 1870 |
| All Slave States | 12,240,293 | 26.6\% | 32.3\% | N/A | N/A |
| Confederate States | 9,103,332 | 31.6\% | 38.7\% | N/A | N/A |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
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## Chapter 5

## Antebellum South Carolina: 1790-1860

Selected Counties:

## Charleston

Georgetown
Horry
Marion
Marlboro
Williamsburg

### 5.1 South Carolina-Comparison of Selected Counties by Category: 1790-1860

The graphs shown in Figure 5.1.1 depicts the population proportion by county and category. The chart is a box and whisker type graph whereas the height along with the connecting lines illustrate how large the gap is between largest and smallest census population from 1790 to 1860 . Figure 5.1.2 depicts
the number of persons per 100 residents. Only three out of the six counties had been founded by the time of the first US Census in 1790. For example, in 1810 (Figure 5.1.2), Horry County showed 32 enslaved residents for every 100 residents relative to the total population in the county. See Tables 5.5.1 and 5.5.2.

## Six Selected Counties-Population Distribution by County and Category: 1790-1860



Charleston $\square$ Georgetown $\square$ Horry $\square$ Marion $\square$ Marlboro $\square$ Williamsburg
Figure 5.1.1: Population proportion of residents by county and category: 1790-1860.*
Six Selected Counties-Population Proportion for Number of Persons per 100 Residents by County and Category: 1790-1860


Figure 5.1.2: Population proportion for number persons per 100 residents for state and six counties: 1790-1860.*
*Source: Tables 5.5.1 and 5.5.2

### 5.2 South Carolina-Comparison of Six Selected Counties: 1810 and 1860

The graphs shown in Figures 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 depict free Black residents in 1810 and 1860. See Tables comparison proportion of enslaved residents and 5.5.3 and 5.5.4.


Figure 5.2.1: Percentage of free Black residents in six counties: 1810 and 1860.*

## Enslaved Population in Six Selected Counties-Percentage

 Proportion: 1810 and 1860

Figure 5.2.2: Percentage of enslaved residents in six counties: 1810 and 1860.*
*Source: Table 5.5.4
5.2 South Carolina-Comparison of Selected Counties by Category: 1810 and 1860, cont.

Tthe graphs shown Figures 5.2 .3 and 5.2 .4 show proportion and comparison among the three categories in 1810 and 1860, respectively. Georgetown County had the largest percentage per population of enslaved residents with ratios of $8: 1$ and 6:1 in 1810 and 1860. Marion and Horry counties had about
the same percentage per capita of enslaved people in 1810; however, this difference was significantly smaller for Horry County in 1860. Additionally, Marion County enslaved population increased by $259 \%$ from 1810 to 1860 in a span of only 50 years. See Tables 5.5.3 and 5.5.4.

Selected Counties-Percentage of White, Free Black, and Enslaved Residents by County: 1810

$\square$ White (1810) $\square$ Enslaved (1810) $\square$ Free Black (1810)
Figure 5.2.3: Percentage of enslaved and free Black residents per capita: 1810.*
Selected Counties- Percentage of White, Free Black, and Enslaved Residents by County: 1860


Figure 5.2.4: Percentage of enslaved and free Black residents per capita: 1860.*

### 5.3 South Carolina-Percentage of Black Persons Who Are Enslaved by County: 1810 and 1860

The graphs shown in Figures 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 depict the percentage of all Black residents per selected county who were enslaved. For example, in Figure 5.3.1, there were 13,969 Black residents in Georgetown County in 1810, and of this number $99.3 \%$ were enslaved by a small percentage ( $10.9 \%$ ) of White residents. In Figure 5.3.2 (year 1860), the

Black population in Horry County was 2,398 , and of this number $98.4 \%$ were enslaved $(2,359)$ by White residents. Among the six counties combined, the percentage of enslaved people out of the Black population was $97.6 \%$ and $97.2 \%$ in 1810 and 1860 , respectively. See Figures 5.2.3, 5.2.4 , 5.3.1, and 5.3.2. See Tables 5.5.3 and 5.5.4.

Selected Counties-Percentage of all Black Residents Enslaved: 1810


Figure 5.3.1: Percentage of all Black residents who were enslaved per capita.: 1810.*
Selected Counties-Percentage of all Black Residents Enslaved: 1860


Figure 5.3.2: Percentage of all Black residents who were enslaved per capita: 1860.*
*Source: Tables 5.5.3 and 5.5.4

### 5.4 Six Selected Counties—Percentages of Enslaved and Slaveholding Families: 1860

TThe graph in Figure 5.4.1depicts the percentage of enslaved persons and the percentage of slave holding families in the state, selected counties, and a combination of the six counties on the eve of the Civil War. Georgetown County exhibited the highest percentage ( $85 \%$ ) of enslaved people per capita and the highest percentage ( $82 \%$ ) of families holding enslaved people. This means that the high percentage of white families held all enslaved people in bondage.

These computations were based on White families and not the small percentage of free Black families that may have held a few enslaved people. Therefore, the percentages in this report might vary slightly from percentages in other reports similar this one. Of the percentage of enslaved people, Horry County enslaved the lowest percentage on enslaved people compared with the other five counties and the state. See Table 5.5.5.

## South Carolina-Percentages of Six Selected Counties of Enslaved People and Slaveholding Families: $1860 \dagger$



Figure 5.4.1: Percentage proportion of enslaved people and slaveholding families: 1860.
Source: Table 5.5.5
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### 5.5 Average Number of Enslaved Persons per Slaveholding Family: 1860

Fifteen Slave States-Average Number of Enslaved People for Every Slaveholding Family: 1860


Figure 5.5.1: Average number of enslaved people per slaveholding family in salve states: 1860.*


Figure 5.5.2: Average number of enslaved people per slaveholding family in selected counties: 1860.*
Source: Tables 5.5.6 and 5.5.7

### 5.6 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860

See Table 5.5.1 for the construction of Figures 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.
Table 5.5.1: Selected counties-population proportion by county and category: 1790-1860.

| Timeline | Category | Charleston | Georgetown | Horry | Marion | Marlboro | Williamsburg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 | Total | 66,985 | 22,122 | --- | --- | 10,706 | --- |
|  | White | 15,402 | 8,878 | --- | --- | 7,438 | --- |
|  | Enslaved | 50,633 | 13,131 | --- | --- | 3,229 | --- |
|  | Free Black | 950 | 113 | --- | --- | 39 | --- |
| 1800 | Total | 56,319 | 22,938 | --- | 6,914 | 5,442 | --- |
|  | White | 14,374 | 6,275 | --- | 4,621 | 3,880 | --- |
|  | Enslaved | 41,945 | 16,568 | --- | 2,155 | 1,383 | --- |
|  | Free Black | 1,161 | 95 | --- | 138 | 179 | --- |
| 1810 | Total | 63,180 | 15,679 | 4,349 | 8,994 | 4,966 | 6,871 |
|  | White | 16,012 | 1,710 | 2,933 | 6,129 | 3,173 | 2,306 |
|  | Enslaved | 45,385 | 13,867 | 1,398 | 2,771 | 1,709 | 4,518 |
|  | Free Black | 1,783 | 102 | 18 | 94 | 84 | 47 |
| 1820 | Total | 80,215 | 17,603 | 3,912 | 10,201 | 6,425 | 8,716 |
|  | White | 19,376 | 1,830 | 2,922 | 6,652 | 3,250 | 2,795 |
|  | Enslaved | 57,224 | 15,546 | 913 | 3,463 | 3,033 | 5,864 |
|  | Free Black | 3,615 | 227 | 77 | 86 | 142 | 57 |
| 1830 | Total | 86,338 | 19,943 | 5,245 | 11,208 | 8,582 | 9,018 |
|  | White | 20,804 | 1,931 | 3,513 | 7,338 | 4,194 | 2,829 |
|  | Enslaved | 61,902 | 17,798 | 1,714 | 3,826 | 4,333 | 6,163 |
|  | Free Black | 3,632 | 214 | 18 | 44 | 55 | 26 |
| 1840 | Total | 82,661 | 18,274 | 5,755 | 13,932 | 8,408 | 10,327 |
|  | White | 20,921 | 2,093 | 4,154 | 8,593 | 4,188 | 3,327 |
|  | Enslaved | 58,539 | 15,993 | 1,574 | 5,251 | 4,118 | 6,968 |
|  | Free Black | 3,201 | 188 | 27 | 88 | 102 | 32 |
| 1850 | Total | 72,805 | 20,647 | 7,646 | 17,407 | 10,789 | 12,447 |
|  | White | 24,580 | 2,193 | 5,522 | 9,781 | 5,033 | 3,902 |
|  | Enslaved | 44,376 | 18,253 | 2,075 | 7,520 | 5,600 | 8,508 |
|  | Free Black | 3,849 | 201 | 49 | 106 | 156 | 37 |
| 1860 | Total | 70,100 | 21,305 | 7,962 | 21,190 | 12,434 | 15,489 |
|  | White | 29,188 | 3,013 | 5,564 | 11,007 | 5,373 | 5,187 |
|  | Enslaved | 37,290 | 18,109 | 2,359 | 9,951 | 6,893 | 10,259 |
|  | Free Black | 3,622 | 183 | 39 | 232 | 168 | 43 |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
5.5 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont.

The tabular data in Table 5.5 .2 shown below were used to construct Figures 5.1.1 and 5.1.2. The table depicts the population proportion of the number of persons per 100 residents for the categories shown in the table for census years 1810 to 1860 . The data in Table 5.5.2. For example, in 1860, there were 30
enslaved persons for every 100 residents in Horry County, and for the same reason in Williamsburg County, there were 66 enslaved persons for every 100 residents or per capita. However, there were no free Blacks for every 100 residents in both counties. noted with a zero in the table.

Table 5.5.2: Selected counties-number of persons per 100 residents by category: 1790-1860.

| Timeline | Category | Charleston | Georgetown | Horry | Marion | Marlboro | Williamsburg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 | White | 23 | 40 | --- | --- | 69 | --- |
|  | Enslaved | 76 | 59 | --- | --- | 30 | --- |
|  | Free Black | 1 | 1 | --- | --- | 1 | --- |
| 1800 | White | 26 | 27 | --- | 67 | 71 | --- |
|  | Enslaved | 74 | 72 | --- | 31 | 25 | --- |
|  | Free Black | 2 | 0 | --- | 2 | 3 | --- |
| 1810 | White | 25 | 11 | 67 | 68 | 64 | 34 |
|  | Enslaved | 72 | 88 | 32 | 31 | 34 | 66 |
|  | Free Black | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1820 | White | 24 | 10 | 75 | 65 | 51 | 32 |
|  | Enslaved | 71 | 88 | 23 | 34 | 47 | 67 |
|  | Free Black | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1830 | White | 24 | 10 | 67 | 65 | 49 | 31 |
|  | Enslaved | 72 | 89 | 33 | 34 | 50 | 68 |
|  | Free Black | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1840 | White | 25 | 11 | 72 | 62 | 50 | 32 |
|  | Enslaved | 71 | 88 | 27 | 38 | 49 | 67 |
|  | Free Black | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1850 | White | 34 | 11 | 72 | 56 | 47 | 31 |
|  | Enslaved | 61 | 88 | 27 | 43 | 52 | 68 |
|  | Free Black | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1860 | White | 42 | 14 | 70 | 52 | 43 | 33 |
|  | Enslaved | 53 | 85 | 30 | 47 | 55 | 66 |
|  | Free Black | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.
5.5 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont.

The tabular data in Tables 5.5.3 and 5.5.4 shown below were used to construct Figures 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, 5.2.4, 5.3.1, and 5.3.2. This analysis selected the distinctive census years 1810 and 1860 to compare a fifty-year interval of the antebellum period.

Table 5.5.4 is computed from Table 5.5.3.
Charleston County by far had the largest population of enslaved inhabitants compared with the other five counties; however, Georgetown County had
significantly more enslaved inhabitants per capita than the other five counties examined in this report. See Figures 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3 and 5.2.4 for a graphical view of the percentage of population proportionality. Researchers have often attributed this disproportionate number of enslaved people per capita in Georgetown County to the county's large rice industry during the antebellum period.

Table 5.5.3: Selected counties-population count proportion by category: 1810 and 1860.*

| State and | Population |  | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Selected <br> Counties | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ |
| Charleston | 63,180 | 70,100 | 16,012 | 29,188 | 1,783 | 3,622 | 45,385 | 37,290 |
| Georgetown | 15,679 | 21,305 | 1,710 | 3,013 | 102 | 183 | 13,867 | 18,109 |
| Horry | 4,349 | 7,962 | 2,933 | 5,564 | 18 | 39 | 1,398 | 2,359 |
| Marion | 8,994 | 21,190 | 6,129 | 11,007 | 94 | 232 | 2,771 | 9,951 |
| Marlboro | 4,966 | 12,434 | 3,173 | 5,373 | 84 | 168 | 1,709 | 6,893 |
| Williamsburg | 6,871 | 15,489 | 2,306 | 5,187 | 47 | --43 | 4,518 | 10,259 |
| Selected Counties | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 6 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 , 8 6 1}$ |
| South Carolina | $\mathbf{2 1 4 , 1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 3 , 7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 , 1 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 , 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 2 , 4 0 6}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{7 . 2 M}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 M}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 7 M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 4 M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 8 M}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 M}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 M}$ |

Table 5.5.4: Selected counties-percentage proportion of population by category: 1810 and 1860.*

| State and Selected Counties | White |  | Free Black |  | Enslaved |  | Of All Blacks: Percent Free |  | Of All Blacks: Percent Enslaved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1810 | 1860 | 1810 | 1860 | 1810 | 1860 | 1810 | 1860 | 1810 | 1860 |
| Charleston | 25.3\% | 41.6\% | 2.8\% | 5.2\% | 71.8\% | 53.2\% | 3.8\% | 8.9\% | 96.2\% | 91.1\% |
| Georgetown | 10.9\% | 14.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 88.4\% | 85.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 99.3\% | 99.0\% |
| Horry | 67.4\% | 69.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 32.1\% | 29.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 98.7\% | 98.4\% |
| Marion | 68.1\% | 51.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 30.8\% | 47.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.3\% | 96.7\% | 97.7\% |
| Marlboro | 63.9\% | 43.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 34.4\% | 55.4\% | 4.7\% | 2.4\% | 95.3\% | 97.6\% |
| Williamsburg | 33.6\% | 33.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 65.8\% | 66.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 99.0\% | 99.6\% |
| Selected Counties | 31.0\% | 40.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 66.9\% | 57.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 97.5\% | 97.2\% |
| South Carolina | 51.6\% | 41.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 47.3\% | 57.2\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | 98.4\% | 97.6\% |
| United States | 81.0\% | 85.6\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 16.4\% | 12.7\% | 13.4\% | 10.8\% | 86.6\% | 89.2\% |
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### 5.5 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont.

Table 5.5.5 depicts the percentage of enslaved persons and the percentage of slaveholding families in the state, selected counties, and a combination of the six counties on the eve of the Civil War (see Figure 5.4.1). Georgetown County exhibited the highest percentage ( $85 \%$ ) of enslaved people per capita and the highest percentage ( $82 \%$ ) of families holding enslaved people. This means that a high percentage of White families held enslaved people in bondage. These computations were based on White families, not the small percentage of free Black families that may have held a few enslaved people. Therefore, the percentages in this report might vary slightly from percentages in other reports on this topic. Of the percentage of enslaved people, Horry County enslaved the lowest percentage of people compared with the other five counties and
the state. Additionally, Horry County had the fewest number of enslaved people per capita ( $29.6 \%$ ) than the other five counties analyzed in this report. See Figure 5.4.1.

Figure 4.6.1 (Chapter 4) depicts the percentage of slaveholding families for the fifteen slave states. The table below-Table 5.5.5-compares the selected six counties and South Carolina with the fifteen slave states. The overall percentage of slaveholding families of the fifteen slave states is significantly smaller than South Carolina or its six selected counties. South Carolina had the largest percentage of slaveholding families compared to Mississippi at $47.1 \%$ and $49.2 \%$, respectively. Consequently, the higher-than-average percentage of slaveholding families in the state's six counties is consistent with that of the state.

Table 5.5.5: Selected counties-percentage of slaveholding families and enslaved people: 1860.

| Six Selected Counties | Number |  |  |  | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Enslaved | Slaveholding Families | Slaveholding <br> Population: | Slaveholding Families | Enslaved People |
| Charleston | 29,188 | 37,290 | 2,853 | 5,679 | 50.2\% | 53.2\% |
| Georgetown | 3,013 | 18,109 | 481 | 586 | 82.1\% | 85.0\% |
| Horry | 5,564 | 2,359 | 490 | 1,082 | 45.3\% | 29.6\% |
| Marion | 11,007 | 9,951 | 846 | 2,141 | 39.5\% | 47.0\% |
| Marlboro | 5,373 | 6,893 | 489 | 1,045 | 46.8\% | 55.4\% |
| Williamsburg | 5,187 | 10,259 | 591 | 1,009 | 48.7\% | 66.2\% |
| Combined Counties | 59,332 | 84,861 | 5,835 | 11,543 | 50.5\% | 57.2\% |
| South Carolina | 291,388 | 402,406 | 26,701 | 56,690 | 47.1\% | 57.2\% |
| Slave States | 12.24M | 3.95M | 1.48M | 393,967 | 26.6\% | 32.3\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Historical Statistics of the United States (1970).
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### 5.5 Tabular Analysis, cont.

TThe data in Table 5.5.6 (used to construct Figure 5.5.1) depicts the number of enslaved persons per slaveholding family in the slave states. For example, in South Carolina there is an average of fifteen enslaved residents per slaveholding family. $\dagger$ The number of enslaved persons per slave holding family is computed by dividing the number of enslaved people by the number of slaveholding families.

Although the number of enslaved persons per slaveholding family is modest, there were some slaveholding families with more than a 1,000 enslaved persons. It was common to find a relative
small number of slaveholding families with more than $75 \%$ of the enslaved population. The majority of slaveholding families held fewer than 10 enslaved people. Therefore, the modest average number might have been pulled downward because of the smaller number of slaveholding families holding a substantial greater percentage of total enslaved population. For example, the 1860 Slave Schedules for Georgetown County reported that 82 of 481 slaveholding families held $82 \%$ of enslaved persons in the county ( 1860 US Census Slave Schedules for Georgetown County).

Table 5.5.6: Slave states—number of enslaved persons per slaveholding family: 1860.

| Slave States | Total Population | White | Free <br> Black | Enslaved | Black | Slaveholder | Number of Enslaved Persons per Slaveholding Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 964,041 | 526,271 | 2,690 | 435,080 | 437,770 | 33,730 | 13 |
| Arkansas | 435,402 | 324,143 | 144 | 111,115 | 111,250 | 11,481 | 10 |
| Delaware | 112,216 | 90,589 | 19,829 | 1,798 | 21,627 | 587 | 3 |
| Florida | 140,317 | 77,640 | 932 | 61,745 | 62,677 | 5,152 | 12 |
| Georgia | 1,057,248 | 591,550 | 3,500 | 462,198 | 465,698 | 41,084 | 11 |
| Kentucky | 1,155,651 | 919,484 | 10,684 | 225,483 | 236,167 | 38,645 | 6 |
| Louisiana | 707,829 | 357,456 | 18,647 | 331,726 | 350,373 | 22,033 | 15 |
| Maryland | 687,049 | 515,918 | 83,942 | 87,189 | 171,131 | 13,783 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 791,303 | 353,899 | 773 | 436,631 | 437,404 | 30,943 | 14 |
| Missouri | 1,181,992 | 1,063,489 | 3,572 | 114,931 | 118,503 | 24,320 | 5 |
| North Carolina | 991,464 | 629,942 | 30,463 | 331,059 | 361,522 | 34,658 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,388 | 9,914 | 402,406 | 412,320 | 26,701 | 15 |
| Tennessee | 1,109,741 | 826,722 | 7,300 | 275,719 | 283,019 | 36,844 | 7 |
| Texas | 603,812 | 420,891 | 355 | 182,566 | 182,921 | 21,878 | 8 |
| Virginia | 1,596,206 | 1,047,299 | 58,042 | 490,865 | 548,907 | 52,128 | 9 |
| Slave States | 12,237,979 | 8,036,681 | 250,787 | 3,950,511 | 4,201,289 | 393,967 | 10 |

Source: US Census Bureau, Historical Statistics of the United States (1970).

[^19]5.5 Analysis Tabular, cont.

Table 5.5.7 (used to construct Figure 5.5.2) depicts the number of enslaved persons per slaveholding family in the six counties. According to the 1860 US Census Schedules in Georgetown County, eightytwo slaveholders held eighty or more enslaved people, accounting for 14,638 enslaved people out of 18,109 , leaving 3,471 enslaved people divided among the remaining slaveholding families. There were about 481 slaveholding families in the county in 1860. Consequently, a large number of slaveholders (circa 399) held only $20 \%$ of the enslaved people, an average of about nine enslaved people per family. Consequently, this resulted in an average of thirtyeight enslaved people per slaveholding family when computed in the context of total slaveholding families and total enslaved persons. The averages for all counties most likely were pulled downward because a small percentage of slaveholding families enslaved more than $80 \%$ of enslaved people. To emphasize, in 1860 , Georgetown County had 18,109 enslaved
persons, ranking it among the twenty highest counties in the United States. Furthermore, the 1860 Census listed a slaveholder owning 1,000 or more enslaved people in the county.

The 1860 census regarded Horry County (founded in 1801) as the poorest county in South Carolina. Although the number of slaveholding families in Horry and Georgetown counties were similar, Georgetown County slaveholding families enslaved substantially more persons than Horry County (see Table 5.5.7). Horry County's enslaved population never exceeded the White population. Lack of commitment to slavery influenced Horry's politics as well. In 1860 many county residents opposed secession but closed ranks with their state and region after the bombardment of Fort Sumter, South Carolina.

Of the six counties depicted in this report, only Horry and Marion counties' enslaved populations were less than their White population in 1860.

Table 5.5.7: Selected counties—number of enslaved persons per slaveholding family: 1860.*

| Six Selected Counties | Total Population | White | Free <br> Black | Enslaved | Black | Slaveholding Families | Number of Enslaved Persons per Slaveholding Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charleston | 70,100 | 29,188 | 3,622 | 37,290 | 40,912 | 2,853 | 13 |
| Georgetown | 21,305 | 3,013 | 183 | 18,109 | 18,292 | 481 | 38 |
| Horry | 7,962 | 5,564 | 39 | 2,359 | 2,398 | 490 | 5 |
| Marion | 21,190 | 11,007 | 232 | 9,951 | 10,183 | 846 | $12 \dagger$ |
| Marlboro | 12,434 | 5,373 | 168 | 6,893 | 7,061 | 489 | 14 |
| Williamsburg | 15,489 | 5,187 | 43 | 10,259 | 10,302 | 491 | 21 |
| Six Combined Counties | 148,480 | 59,332 | 4,287 | 84,861 | 89,148 | 5,650 | 15 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,388 | 9,914 | 402,406 | 412,320 | 26,701 | 15 |
| Fifteen Slave States | 12,237,979 | 8,036,681 | 250,787 | 3,950,511 | 4,201,298 | 393,967 | 10 |

Source: US Census Bureau, Historical Statistics of the United States (1970).
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## Chapter 6

## Antebellum and Postbellum America: 1790-2020

United States, South Carolina, Six Selected Counties, and Horry County

### 6.1 United States-Population Growth by Category: 1790-2020

Figure 6.1.1 depicts the growth in population size across 230 years in the United States, covering the colonial, antebellum, and postbellum eras through 2020. The US overall population growth rate in the past forty years is less than that of South Carolina and is significantly smaller than that of Horry County (see Figures 6.4.1 and 6.4.2). An insert is superimposed on the graph in Figure 6.1.1 showing ten-year intervals (see Tables 6.9.1 and 6.9.2). Throughout the report, a comparative analysis of the two historical populations (White and Black) is done with an emphasis on slave states. The impetus for this report is to examine the changing population of the two historical groups from about 1630 to 2020 . Hence, the graph starts in 1790, the first year the United States conducted a census for the new country.

Emphasizing earlier comments regarding the population of free states, because most Black inhabitants were concentrated in the southern states during the antebellum period, the comparison of the total US population with enslaved people produces a misleading analysis. It dilutes the true picture and percentage of enslaved Black people because the computation used the total population of the

United States, as shown in Figures 6.1.1, 6.2.1, and 6.2.2 and Tables 6.9.1 and 6.9.2. For example, in 1860, the population of the free states was 18.8 million, and the population of the slave states was 12.2 million, for a total of 31 million. The free states had a Black population of only 174,000 inhabitants, whereas the slave states had a Black population of 4.2 million people, and only about 250,787 were free ( 3.95 million were enslaved). This means that in 1860 about $99.5 \%$ of enslaved people were inhabitants of slave states. Statistically, computing percentages or proportionality of enslaved inhabitants relative to White inhabitants using the combined total population for free and slave states would be misleading. Therefore, the emphasis has been and will continue to be on analysis before the Civil War was computed based not on the total US population but on the population of the slave states, North or South, when applicable. See Chapters 3, 4, and 5 . In this chapter, the population of slave and Confederate states is compared with that of former slave and Confederate states in 2020. See Tables 6.9.1 and 6.9.2.

United States—Population Growth: 1790-2020


Figure 6.1.1: United States—population growth: 1790-2020.
Source: Tables 6.9.1
6.2 United States-Population Growth and Trend by Category: 1790-2020

Figures 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 depict the percentage proportion relative to total population for White, $\dagger$ free Black, and Black $\ddagger$ residents. Although the total population count for Black residents during
the antebellum and postbellum periods has increased during the postbellum period, the percentage of Black residents relative to total population remained relative flat for 230 years. See Table 6.9.2.

United States-Growth and Percentage Trend of Population: 1790-2020


Figure 6.2.1: United States—population growth and percentage proportion by category: 1790-2020.*
United States—Percentage Proportion of Population: 1790-2020


Figure 6.2.2: United States—percentage proportion of total population by category: 1790-2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.2

[^21]6.3 Slave and Former Slave States—Percentage of Population by Category: 1860 and 2020
igures 6.3 .1 and 6.3 .2 depict the percentage
proportion to total population for White, Black, proportion to total population for White, Black, and All Others in 2020. The percentage of Black
proportion consists of free Black and enslaved Black; therefore, percentage of enslaved will vary slightly from Black inhabitants. Table 6.9.3 and 6.9.4.

Slave States-Percentage Proportion of Population: 1860


Figure 6.3.1: Slave States-percentage proportion of population by category: 1860.*


Figure 6.3.2: Former Slave States—percentage proportion of population by category: 2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.3 and 6.9.4
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### 6.4 South Carolina and Horry County—Population Growth by Category: 1790—2020

Figures 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 depict the population growth curves for the two historically largest racial categories in South Carolina and Horry County. The categories in this report during the antebellum period were White, Black, free Black, enslaved, and

All Others. There is a break in the light blue and orange lines whereas the category Black replaces free Black and enslaved persons. Tables 6.9.5, 6.9.6, and 6.9.7.


Figure 6.4.1: South Carolina-population growth by category: 1790-2020.*


Figure 6.4.2: Horry County-population growth in Horry County: 1810-2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.5 , 6.9.6, and 6.9.7
6.5 South Carolina and Horry County—Percentage Proportion and Trend by Category: 1790-2020

Figures 6.5.1 and 6.5.2 depict the population growth and trend for White, free Black, Black, and enslaved residents. The enslaved population became the Black population after 1865 and remained about
the same until the Great Migration (1910s-1970s). See https://www.archives.gov/research/african-americans/migrations/great-migration. See Tables 6.9.6 and 6.9.8.

South Carolina-Population Growth and Trend by Category: 1790-2020 $\dagger$


Figure 6.5.1: South Carolina-percentage trend of population by category: 1790-2020.*


Figure 6.5.2: Horry County—percentage trend of population by category: 1810-2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.6 and 6.9.8
$\dagger$ South Carolina enslaved the highest percentage of Black people per capita than any other colony or state from when it was settled in 1670 to 1865, when slavery was abolished in the United States.
6.6 South Carolina and Horry County—Percentage Proportion by Category: 1790-2020

Figures 6.6.1 and 6.6.2 depict the percentage proportion relative to total population whereas Figures 6.5.1 and 6.5.2, which show graphs with trend lines for the various populations. The graphs
(Figures 6.6.1 and 6.6.2) show a more discernible proportion of the population categories. The percentages of population proportion of free Black are small, especially Horry County. See Tables 6.9.6 and 6.9.8.


Figure 6.6.1: South Carolina-percentage proportion of population by category: 1790-2020.*
Horry County—Percentage Proportion of Population by Category: 1810-2020


Figure 6.6.2: Horry County—percentage proportion of population by category: 1810-2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.6 and 6.9.8
6.7 South Carolina Selected Counties—Percentage Comparison by Category: 1860 and 2020

Selected Slave Counties-Percentage Proportion of Population by Category: 1860


Figure 6.7.1: Selected counties-percentage proportion of population by category: 1860.*

Figure 6.7.2: Selected counties-percentage proportion of population by category: 2020.*

[^23]Figure 6.7.1 depicts the percentage of White and Black residents on the eve of the Civil War (1860). Enslaved and free Black people were combined into the category of "Black Inhabitants." Note that in some of the previous figures, enslaved people and free Black people were shown as two categories. Figures 6.7.1 and 6.7.2 combined the two categories under the category of "Black Inhabitants." See Table 6.9.9.

Figure 6.7.2 depicts the proportion of White, $\dagger$ Black, $\ddagger$ and all other residents in six selected counties in 2020. The "All Others" category has increased exponentially by about $144 \%$ since the US Federal Census Bureau started allowing residents to self-identify beginning in the census year 2000. The categories include "Some Other Race," "Two or More Races," "American Indian and Other Pacific Islander," and "Asian." See Table 6.9.9 and note number one in the second column on page 13 .
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### 6.8 South Carolina and Horry County—Periodic Changes in Population: 1790-2020

F
igures 6.8.1 and 6.8.2 depict the percentage change in population from one census to the next. Although the change in every census shows percentage change with much variation, the overall growth in count increased over time as shown in Figures 6.1.1, 6.4.1, and 6.4.2. The category of All

Others is not shown on the two graphs below because the intent of this report was to measure changes in the two historical categories (White and Black). To emphasize, the purpose of this report was to examine the two historical categories from 1790 to 2020. See Tables 6.9.12 and 6.9.13.

South Carolina-Percentage Change in Population per
Census Year by Category: 1790-2020


Figure 6.8.1: South Carolina—percentage change from census year to census year: 1790-2020.*

## Horry County-Percentage Change in Population per Census Year by Category: 1810-2020



Figure 6.8.2: Horry County—percentage change from census year to census year: 1790-2020.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.12 and 6.9.13

### 6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020

The data in Table 6.9.1 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.1.1. To emphasize, the primary source of these data were the US Census Bureau and an arm of the Census Bureau known as the American Community Survey (ACS). The first US Census count started on August 2, 1790. To determine the number of House representees allocated to each state, was allocated, slaves were counted as three-fifth of a person for this purpose. The ACS is a demographics survey program
conducted annually by the U.S. Census Bureau. It helps local governments, community leaders, and businesses understand the changes taking place in their communities. The "All Other" category has exceeded Black residents since the 2000 census when the US Federal Census Bureau allowed residents to self-identify. This category self identified as American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, Some other race, two or more races. See Figures 6.2.1 and 6.2.2.

Table 6.9.1: United States-population count proportion by category: 1790-2020.

| Timeline | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 | $3,929,214$ | $3,172,006$ | 59,527 | 697,681 | 757,208 | --- |
| 1800 | $5,294,390$ | $4,296,380$ | 107,652 | 890,358 | 998,010 | --- |
| 1810 | $7,215,858$ | $5,845,994$ | 183,897 | $1,185,967$ | $1,369,864$ | --- |
| 1820 | $9,600,783$ | $7,839,552$ | 229,586 | $1,531,645$ | $1,761,231$ | --- |
| 1830 | $12,860,868$ | $10,504,497$ | 313,447 | $2,002,924$ | $2,316,371$ | --- |
| 1840 | $17,019,641$ | $14,159,048$ | 377,932 | $2,482,661$ | $2,860,593$ | --- |
| 1850 | $23,067,262$ | $19,442,272$ | 424,390 | $3,200,600$ | $3,624,990$ | --- |
| 1860 | $31,183,744$ | $26,690,780$ | 476,748 | $3,950,546$ | $4,427,294$ | 78,954 |
| 1870 | $38,115,641$ | $33,203,128$ | --- | --- | $4,835,106$ | 88,985 |
| 1880 | $50,155,783$ | $43,402,970$ | --- | --- | $6,580,793$ | 172,020 |
| 1890 | $62,622,250$ | $54,983,890$ | --- | --- | $7,470,040$ | 168,320 |
| 1900 | $75,994,575$ | $66,809,196$ | --- | --- | $8,833,994$ | 346,712 |
| 1910 | $91,972,266$ | $81,731,957$ | --- | --- | $9,827,763$ | 413,546 |
| 1920 | $105,710,620$ | $94,820,915$ | --- | --- | $10,463,131$ | 426,565 |
| 1930 | $122,775,046$ | $110,286,740$ | --- | --- | $11,891,143$ | 597,163 |
| 1940 | $131,669,275$ | $118,214,870$ | --- | --- | $12,865,518$ | 588,887 |
| 1950 | $150,697,361$ | $134,942,028$ | --- | --- | $15,042,286$ | 713,047 |
| 1960 | $179,323,175$ | $158,831,732$ | --- | --- | $18,871,831$ | $1,619,612$ |
| 1970 | $203,210,158$ | $178,119,221$ | --- | --- | $22,539,362$ | $2,551,575$ |
| 1980 | $226,545,805$ | $188,371,622$ | --- | --- | $26,495,025$ | $11,679,158$ |
| 1990 | $248,709,873$ | $199,686,070$ | --- | --- | $29,986,060$ | $19,037,743$ |
| 2000 | $281,421,906$ | $211,460,626$ | --- | --- | $34,658,190$ | $35,303,090$ |
| 2010 | $308,745,538$ | $223,553,265$ | --- | --- | $38,929,319$ | $46,262,954$ |
| 2020 | $331,449,281$ | $204,277,273$ | --- | --- | $41,104,200$ | $86,067,808$ |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).
6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont

The data in Table 6.9 .2 shown below were used to construct Figures 6.2.1 and 6.2.2. The table depicts the percentage proportion of the three categories for each census year from 1790 to 2020 . As stated in paragraph 6.1, the percentages of free Black and enslaved people are not a fair representative of these two categories during the :antebellum period because
more than $95 \%$ of the US Black population lived in slave states and, on average, about $97 \%$ of them were enslaved. The Black population in South Carolina exceeded that of the White population from about 1820 to 1920 (see Figures 6.6.1 and 6.6.2).

Table 6.9.2: United States-percentage proportion of population by category: 1790-2020.

| Timeline | United States | Percentage Proportion of Total Population |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | White $\dagger$ | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
| 1790 | 3,929,214 | 80.7\% | 1.5\% | 17.8\% | 19.3\% | --- |
| 1800 | 5,294,390 | 81.1\% | 2.0\% | 16.8\% | 18.9\% | --- |
| 1810 | 7,215,858 | 80.9\% | 2.5\% | 16.4\% | 19.0\% | --- |
| 1820 | 9,600,783 | 81.6\% | 2.4\% | 16.0\% | 18.3\% | --- |
| 1830 | 12,860,868 | 81.9\% | 2.4\% | 15.6\% | 18.0\% | --- |
| 1840 | 17,019,641 | 83.2\% | 2.2\% | 14.6\% | 16.8\% | --- |
| 1850 | 23,067,262 | 84.3\% | 1.8\% | 13.9\% | 15.7\% | --- |
| 1860 | 31,183,744 | 85.9\% | 1.5\% | 12.7\% | 14.2\% | 0.3\% |
| 1870 | 38,115,641 | 87.1\% | --- | --- | 12.7\% | 0.2\% |
| 1880 | 50,155,783 | 86.5\% | --- | --- | 13.1\% | 0.3\% |
| 1890 | 62,622,250 | 87.5\% | --- | --- | 11.9\% | 0.3\% |
| 1900 | 75,994,575 | 87.9\% | --- | --- | 11.6\% | 0.5\% |
| 1910 | 91,972,266 | 88.9\% | --- | --- | 10.7\% | 0.4\% |
| 1920 | 105,710,620 | 89.7\% | --- | --- | 9.9\% | 0.4\% |
| 1930 | 122,775,046 | 89.8\% | --- | --- | 9.7\% | 0.5\% |
| 1940 | 131,669,275 | 89.8\% | --- | --- | 9.8\% | 0.4\% |
| 1950 | 150,697,361 | 89.5\% | --- | --- | 10.0\% | 0.5\% |
| 1960 | 179,323,175 | 88.6\% | --- | --- | 10.5\% | 0.9\% |
| 1970 | 203,210,158 | 87.7\% | --- | --- | 11.1\% | 1.3\% |
| 1980 | 226,545,805 | 83.1\% | --- | --- | 11.7\% | 5.2\% |
| 1990 | 248,709,873 | 80.3\% | --- | --- | 12.1\% | 7.7\% |
| 2000 | 281,421,906 | 75.1\% | --- | --- | 12.3\% | 12.5\% |
| 2010 | 308,745,538 | 72.4\% | --- | --- | 12.6\% | 15.0\% |
| 2020 | 331,449,281 | 61.5\% | --- | --- | 12.4\% | 26.0\% |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).
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### 6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont

The tabular data in Table 6.9 .3 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.3.1. The table depicts the percentage proportion of the categories for the census year 1860 for the fifteen slave states. The percentage of Black persons includes free Black and enslaved persons; therefore, percentage of enslaved will vary slightly from Black inhabitants in various tables and graphs throughout the report.

In 1860, the category "All Others" showed an average of about $0.02 \%$, which is too small for practical showing on the graph with a 100 percent scale; therefore, this category is not shown on the graph in Figure 6.3.1. Example, North Carolina
depicted the largest number of "All Others" category with a population of 1,158 which equated to only $0.12 \%$ of the total population.

For example, in South Carolina, Table 6.9.3, the heading labeled Black shows South Carolina with 412,320 Black residents or a proportion equating to $58.6 \%$. Of the 412,320 , about $97.6 \%$ or 402,406 were enslaved (see Figure 4.5.1). This means that of the total Black population $(412,320)$ in South Carolina, almost all of them were enslaved on the eve of the Civil War of the state seceding from the Union and subsequently the Civil War.

Table 6.9.3: Slave states-population count and percentage proportion by state and category: 1860 .

| United States, Slave States and Confederate States | Population | Population Proportion |  |  | Percentage Proportion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | White | Black | All Others | White | Black | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Others } \uparrow \end{gathered}$ |
| Alabama | 964,201 | 526,271 | 437,770 | 160 | 54.6\% | 45.4\% | 0.02\% |
| Arkansas | 435,450 | 324,143 | 111,259 | 48 | 74.4\% | 25.6\% | 0.01\% |
| Delaware\% | 112,216 | 90,589 | 21,627 | 0 | 80.7\% | 19.3\% | 0.00\% |
| Florida | 140,424 | 77,746 | 62,677 | 1 | 55.4\% | 44.6\% | 0.00\% |
| Georgia | 1,057,286 | 591,550 | 465,698 | 38 | 55.9\% | 44.0\% | 0.00\% |
| Kentucky $\ddagger$ | 1,155,684 | 919,484 | 236,167 | 33 | 79.6\% | 20.4\% | 0.00\% |
| Louisiana | 708,002 | 357,456 | 350,373 | 173 | 50.5\% | 49.5\% | 0.02\% |
| Maryland $\ddagger$ | 687,049 | 515,918 | 171,131 | 0 | 75.1\% | 24.9\% | 0.00\% |
| Mississippi | 791,305 | 353,899 | 437,404 | 2 | 44.7\% | 55.3\% | 0.00\% |
| Missouri† | 1,182,012 | 1,063,489 | 118,503 | 20 | 90.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.00\% |
| North Carolina | 992,622 | 629,942 | 361,522 | 1,158 | 63.5\% | 36.4\% | 0.12\% |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,300 | 412,320 | 88 | 41.4\% | 58.6\% | 0.01\% |
| Tennessee | 1,109,801 | 826,722 | 283,019 | 60 | 74.5\% | 25.5\% | 0.01\% |
| Texas | 604,215 | 420,891 | 182,921 | 403 | 69.7\% | 30.3\% | 0.07\% |
| Virginia | 1,596,318 | 1,047,299 | 548,907 | 112 | 65.6\% | 34.4\% | 0.01\% |
| Slave States | 12,240,293 | 8,036,699 | 4,201,298 | 2,296 | 65.7\% | 34.3\% | 0.02\% |
| Confederate States | 9,103,332 | 5,447,219 | 3,653,870 | 2,243 | 59.8\% | 40.1\% | 0.02\% |
| United States | 31,183,744 | 26,690,780 | 4,427,294 | 78,954 | 85.9\% | 14.2\% | 0.3\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^26]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-1860, cont

TThe tabular data in Table 6.9.4 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.3.2. The table depicts the percentage proportion of the categories for the census year 2020 for the former fifteen slave states. For example, in South Carolina, Table 6.9.4, the percentage of the Black population in 1860 was 58.6\% (Figure 6.3.1) and $26.7 \%$ in 2020 (Figure 6.3.2). This percentage should not be misconstrued as a decrease in population count rather the population of others increased at a higher rate than the Black population. For example, South Carolina, the number of Black inhabitants in 1860 increased from 412,320
to $1,366,619$ in 2020.
The idea here was to compare White and Black population count in slave states (1860) and the former slave states (2020). In a majority of the former slave states, the Black population percentage decreased significantly. A pronounced observation between Figures 6.3.1 (1860) and 6.3.2 (2020) is that Figure 6.3.1 exhibits a percentage pattern across the slave states, whereas Figure 6.3.2 shows far more randomness in population proportion for White and Black residents.

Table 6.9.4: Former slave states-population count and percentage by state and category: 2020.

| United States, Former Slave and Confederate States | Population | Proportion |  |  | Percentage Proportion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | White $\dagger$ | Black | All Others | White $\dagger$ | Black | All Others |
| Alabama | 5,024,279 | 3,220,452 | 1,296,162 | 507,665 | 64.1\% | 25.8\% | 10.1\% |
| Arkansas | 3,011,524 | 2,114,512 | 453,783 | 443,229 | 70.2\% | 15.1\% | 14.7\% |
| Delaware | 989,948 | 597,763 | 218,899 | 173,286 | 60.4\% | 22.1\% | 17.5\% |
| Florida | 21,538,187 | 12,422,961 | 3,246,381 | 5,868,845 | 57.7\% | 15.1\% | 27.2\% |
| Georgia | 10,711,908 | 5,555,483 | 3,329,513 | 1,826,912 | 51.9\% | 31.1\% | 17.1\% |
| Kentucky | 4,505,836 | 3,711,254 | 362,417 | 432,165 | 82.4\% | 8.0\% | 9.6\% |
| Louisiana | 4,657,757 | 2,657,652 | 1,464,023 | 536,082 | 57.1\% | 31.4\% | 11.5\% |
| Maryland | 6,117,224 | 3,007,874 | 1,820,472 | 1,288,878 | 49.2\% | 29.8\% | 21.1\% |
| Mississippi | 2,961,279 | 1,658,893 | 1,084,481 | 217,905 | 56.0\% | 36.6\% | 7.4\% |
| Missouri | 6,154,913 | 4,740,335 | 699,840 | 714,738 | 77.0\% | 11.4\% | 11.6\% |
| North Carolina | 10,439,388 | 6,488,459 | 2,140,217 | 1,810,712 | 62.2\% | 20.5\% | 17.3\% |
| South Carolina | 5,118,425 | 3,245,081 | 1,366,619 | 506,725 | 63.4\% | 26.7\% | 9.9\% |
| Tennessee | 6,910,840 | 4,990,938 | 1,092,948 | 826,954 | 72.2\% | 15.8\% | 12.0\% |
| Texas | 29,145,505 | 14,609,365 | 3,552,997 | 10,983,143 | 50.1\% | 12.2\% | 37.7\% |
| Virginia | 8,631,393 | 5,208,856 | 1,607,581 | 1,814,956 | 60.3\% | 18.6\% | 21.0\% |
| Former Slave States | 125,918,406 | 74,229,878 | 23,736,333 | 27,952,195 | 59.0\% | 18.9\% | 22.2\% |
| Former Confederate | 108,150,485 | 62,172,652 | 20,634,705 | 25,343,128 | 57.5\% | 19.1\% | 23.4\% |
| United States | 331,449,281 | 204,277,273 | 41,104,200 | 86,067,808 | 61.5\% | 12.4\% | 26.0\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^27]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

The data in Table 6.9 .5 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.4.1. The graph in Figure 6.4.1 depicts the relationship with an increasing in the overall population along with the two historical groups. As shown in Table 6.9.5 (40 years after the first census), the total population growth rate was $133 \%$ for South Carolina from 1790 to 1830, 84\% for Whites, $339 \%$ for free Blacks, and $194 \%$ for enslaved Blacks. The percentage increased for free Blacks is inconsequential because their population
was only $1.3 \%$ and the enslaved Black population was $54 \%$ of the total population, respectively.

The insert in Figure 6.4.1 depicts 10 -years intervals of population growth rate by comparing the United States, South Carolina, and Horry County. For the same timeframe, Horry County growth rate was three-times the rate for South Carolina. Hence, 10.4\% (South Carolina) and 30.4\% (Horry County). See Figure 6.4.1.

Table 6.9.5: South Carolina-population count timeline by category: 1790-2020.

| Timeline | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 | 249,073 | 140,178 | 1,801 | 107,094 | 108,895 | --- |
| 1800 | 345,591 | 196,255 | 3,185 | 146,151 | 149,336 | --- |
| 1810 | 415,115 | 214,196 | 4,554 | 196,365 | 200,919 | --- |
| 1820 | 502,741 | 237,440 | 6,826 | 258,475 | 265,301 | --- |
| 1830 | 581,185 | 257,863 | 7,921 | 315,401 | 323,322 | --- |
| 1840 | 594,398 | 259,084 | 8,276 | 327,038 | 335,314 | --- |
| 1850 | 668,507 | 274,563 | 8,960 | 384,984 | 393,944 | --- |
| 1860 | 703,708 | 291,388 | 9,914 | 402,406 | 412,320 | --- |
| 1870 | 705,606 | 289,667 | --- | --- | 415,814 | 125 |
| 1880 | 995,569 | 391,105 | --- | --- | 604,332 | 140 |
| 1890 | 1,151,149 | 462,008 | --- | --- | 688,934 | 207 |
| 1900 | 1,340,316 | 557,807 | --- | --- | 782,321 | 188 |
| 1910 | 1,515,400 | 679,161 | --- | --- | 835,843 | 396 |
| 1920 | 1,683,724 | 818,538 | --- | --- | 864,719 | 467 |
| 1930 | 1,738,765 | 944,049 | --- | --- | 793,681 | 1,035 |
| 1940 | 1,899,804 | 1,084,308 | --- | --- | 814,164 | 1,332 |
| 1950 | 2,117,027 | 1,293,405 | --- | --- | 822,077 | 1,545 |
| 1960 | 2,382,357 | 1,551,022 | --- | --- | 829,291 | 2,044 |
| 1970 | 2,590,516 | 1,794,430 | --- | --- | 789,041 | 7,045 |
| 1980 | 3,121,820 | 2,147,224 | --- | --- | 948,623 | 25,973 |
| 1990 | 3,486,703 | 2,406,974 | --- | --- | 1,039,884 | 39,845 |
| 2000 | 4,024,223 | 2,663,361 | --- | --- | 1,184,706 | 176,156 |
| 2010 | 4,636,312 | 3,060,000 | --- | --- | 1,290,684 | 285,628 |
| 2020 | 5,118,425 | 3,245,081 | --- | --- | 1,366,619 | 506,724 |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).
6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

TThe data in Table 6.9.6 shown below were used to construct Figures 6.5.1 and 6.1.1. The table depicts the percentage proportion of the three categories for each census year from 1790 to 2020. The enslaved and Black populations exceeded the White populations from about 1820 to 1920. The Great Migration, one of the largest movements of
people in United States history, had a major impact on this reversal. Approximately six million Black people moved from the American South to Northern, Midwestern, and Western states from roughly 1910 to the 1970s (National Archives). The effect of the migration is readily apparent in South Carolina. See Figures 6.5.1 and 6.6.1.

Table 6.9.6: South Carolina-percentage population proportion timeline by category: 1790-2020.

| Timeline | South Carolina | Percentage proportion of total population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
|  | 249,073 | $56.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | --- |
| 1800 | 345,591 | $56.8 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | --- |
| 1810 | 415,115 | $51.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | --- |
| 1820 | 502,741 | $47.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | --- |
| 1830 | 581,185 | $44.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | --- |
| 1840 | 594,398 | $43.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | --- |
| 1850 | 668,507 | $41.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | --- |
| 1860 | 703,708 | $41.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | --- |
| 1870 | 705,606 | $41.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $58.9 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| 1880 | 995,569 | $39.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $60.7 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| 1890 | $1,151,149$ | $40.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $59.8 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| 1900 | $1,340,316$ | $41.6 \%$ | --- | --- | $58.4 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| 1910 | $1,515,400$ | $44.8 \%$ | --- | --- | $55.2 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| 1920 | $1,683,724$ | $48.6 \%$ | --- | --- | $51.4 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| 1930 | $1,738,765$ | $54.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $45.6 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| 1940 | $1,899,804$ | $57.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $42.9 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| 1950 | $2,117,027$ | $61.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $38.8 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| 1960 | $2,382,357$ | $65.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $34.8 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |
| 1970 | $2,590,516$ | $69.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $30.5 \%$ | $0.27 \%$ |
| 1980 | $3,121,820$ | $68.8 \%$ | --- | --- | $30.4 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| 1990 | $3,486,703$ | $69.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $29.8 \%$ | $1.14 \%$ |
| 2000 | $4,024,223$ | $66.2 \%$ | --- | --- | $29.4 \%$ | $4.38 \%$ |
| 2010 | $4,636,312$ | $66.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $27.8 \%$ | $6.16 \%$ |
| 2020 | $5,118,425$ | $63.4 \%$ | --- | --- | $26.7 \%$ | $9.90 \%$ |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).
6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

T'he data in Table 6.9.7 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.4.2. Horry County was founded in 1801. Before 1801, the area known as Horry County was part of Georgetown County. Horry County had fewer Free Black residents. The population comprised fewer than 10,000 residents
from 1801 to 1860 ; therefore, the growth curves shown in Figure 6.4 .2 barely registers on the vertical axis for population growth. Enslaved people in South Carolina and Horry County were Black residents; therefore, the orange curve continues with a break from the blue curve (see Figure 6.4.2).

Table 6.9.7: Horry County-population timeline by category: 1810-2020. $\dagger$

| Timeline | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black: | All Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1800 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1810 | 4,349 | 2,933 | 18 | 1,398 | 1,416 | --- |
| 1820 | 5,025 | 3,568 | 23 | 1,434 | 1,457 | --- |
| 1830 | 5,245 | 3,513 | 18 | 1,714 | 1,732 | --- |
| 1840 | 5,755 | 4,154 | 27 | 1,574 | 1,601 | --- |
| 1850 | 7,646 | 5,522 | 49 | 2,075 | 2,124 | --- |
| 1860 | 7,962 | 5,564 | 39 | 2,359 | 2,398 | --- |
| 1870 | 10,721 | 7,486 | --- | --- | 3,235 | --- |
| 1880 | 15,574 | 10,632 | --- | --- | 4,942 | --- |
| 1890 | 19,256 | 13,706 | --- | --- | 5,550 | --- |
| 1900 | 23,364 | 17,044 | --- | --- | 6,320 | --- |
| 1910 | 26,955 | 20,287 | --- | --- | 6,668 | --- |
| 1920 | 32,077 | 24,379 | --- | --- | 7,698 | --- |
| 1930 | 39,330 | 29,720 | --- | --- | 9,610 | --- |
| 1940 | 51,916 | 37,879 | --- | --- | 14,037 | --- |
| 1950 | 59,820 | 43,735 | --- | --- | 16,085 | --- |
| 1960 | 68,247 | 50,005 | --- | --- | 18,242 | --- |
| 1970 | 69,869 | 52,471 | --- | --- | 17,398 | --- |
| 1980 | 101,419 | 82,140 | --- | --- | 19,279 | --- |
| 1990 | 144,053 | 117,098 | --- | --- | 25,160 | 1,795 |
| 2000 | 196,629 | 159,363 | --- | --- | 30,468 | 6,798 |
| 2010 | 269,291 | 208,096 | --- | --- | 35,753 | 25,442 |
| 2020 | 351,029 | 272,943 | --- | --- | 44,230 | 34,401 |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^28]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont

TThe data in Table 6.9.8 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.5.2. Figures 6.6.2 and 6.7.2 depict the percentage proportion of the three categories for each census year from 1810 to 2020 in Horry County. The county was founded in 1801. The enslaved and Black population never exceeded the White population in Horry County compared with South Carolina, where the Black population exceeded that of the White population for 100 years
:from 1820 to 1920 (Figure 6.5.1).
South Carolina Black population percentage decreased precipitously from 1920 to 1970 (Figure 6.6.1). It is apparent that the Great Migration impact on Horry County was smaller (Figure 6.6.2). However, Horry County's Black population percentage proportion decreased significantly from 1970 to 2020 while the total population count increased.

Table 6.9.8: Horry County—population and percentage timeline by category: 1810-2020.

| Timeline | Total | Percentage proportion of total population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
| 1790 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1800 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1810 | 4,349 | $67.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | --- |
| 1820 | 5,025 | $71.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1830 | 5,245 | $67.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1840 | 5,755 | $72.2 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | --- |
| 1850 | 7,646 | $72.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | --- |
| 1860 | 7,962 | $69.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | --- |
| 1870 | 10,721 | $69.5 \%$ | --- | --- | $30.5 \%$ | --- |
| 1880 | 15,574 | $68.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $31.7 \%$ | --- |
| 1890 | 19,256 | $71.2 \%$ | --- | --- | $28.8 \%$ | --- |
| 1900 | 23,364 | $72.9 \%$ | --- | --- | $27.1 \%$ | --- |
| 1910 | 26,955 | $75.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $24.7 \%$ | --- |
| 1920 | 32,077 | $76.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $24.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1930 | 39,330 | $75.6 \%$ | --- | --- | $24.4 \%$ | --- |
| 1940 | 51,916 | $73.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $27.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1950 | 59,820 | $73.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $26.9 \%$ | --- |
| 1960 | 68,247 | $73.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $26.7 \%$ | --- |
| 1970 | 69,869 | $75.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $24.9 \%$ | --- |
| 1980 | 101,419 | $81.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $19.0 \%$ | --- |
| 1990 | 144,053 | $81.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $17.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2000 | 196,629 | $81.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $15.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | 269,291 | $77.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $13.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 2020 | 351,029 | $77.8 \%$ | --- | --- | $12.6 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |

[^29]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

The data in Table 6.9.9 were used to construct Figures 6.8.1 and 6.8.2 to compare the population proportion in 1860 and 2020 of the former slave counties, South Carolina, and six selected counties. The 160-year interval generally correlated with the transition of a labor-intensive agricultural society to a more service and tourism society in South Carolina in particular.

Figure 6.8.1 depicts the proportional percentage proportion of White and Black populations in 1860. The designation "Black" included enslaved and free Blacks. Throughout most of this report, free Black people were not combined with enslaved Blacks as part of the computations. Free Black people were computed separately to get an accurate picture of the free Black population. Figure 6.8 .2 shows the percentage proportion of White, Black, and All Others in 2020.*

The most notable observation is that Williamsburg County has essentially the same ratio of White and Black residents as it did 160 years ago. Additionally,

Marlboro County's Black population percentage has not changed appreciably (circa five percentage points) from 1860 to 2020. Moreover, Georgetown County exhibited the largest decrease in the Black population (circa 53 percentage points) from 1860 to 2020.

Considering the overall increase in population for the six counties from 1860 to 2020 for White residents (circa 26 percentage points) and Black residents, the population percentage decreased (circa 36 percentage points). This does not mean that the headcount for Black residents decreased in raw numbers. Rather, it means the Black proportion of the population has decreased because of the many competing groups, including White residents, whose headcount increased at a higher rate than Black residents. For example, the White population headcount increased 10 times $(10 \times)$ compared to the Black population headcount of only about two times ( $2.4 \times$ ) from 1860 to 2020 for the combined six counties (see Table 6.9.10).

Table 6.9.9: Selected counties-population count and percentage by category: 1860 and 2020.

| United States, <br> South Carolina <br> and Six Selected <br> Counties | Population Count |  | Population Comparison by Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total Population |  | White |  | Black |  | All other |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| Charleston | 70,100 | 408,235 | $41.6 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | --- | $8.8 \%$ |
| Georgetown | 21,305 | 63,403 | $14.1 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | --- | $18.4 \%$ |
| Horry | 7,962 | 351,029 | $69.9 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | --- | $9.8 \%$ |
| Marion | 21,190 | 29,184 | $51.9 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | --- | $5.4 \%$ |
| Marlboro | 12,434 | 26,667 | $43.2 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $56.8 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | --- | $10.2 \%$ |
| Williamsburg | 15,489 | 31,026 | $33.5 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | --- | $3.6 \%$ |
| Selected Counties | $\mathbf{1 4 8 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 9 , 5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0 \%}$ | --- | $\mathbf{9 . 6 \%}$ |
| South Carolina | $\mathbf{7 0 3 , 7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 1 8 , 4 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 7 \%}$ | --- | $\mathbf{9 . 9 \%}$ |
| Slave States | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 M}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 M}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2 M}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 2 M}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^30]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

TThe data in Table 6.9.10 were used to compute the percentages shown in Table 6.9.9, which were subsequently used to construct the graphs in Figures 6.8.1 and 6.8.2. Hence, Tables 6.9.9 and 6.9.10 exhibit the same information. Table 6.9.9 depicts population percentage and Table 6.9.11 shows the population magnitude number
count. The purpose of the graphs and tables was to illustrate the comparative analysis of population count from 1860 to 2020. These include the slave counties of South Carolina, and slave states. For example, Horry County experienced the largest growth numerically of the six selected counties, including state sale states

Table 6.9.10: Selected counties—population count comparison by category: 1860 and 2020.*

| United States, South Carolina and Six Selected Counties | Population Comparison by Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Population |  | White |  | Black $\dagger$ |  | All Other |  |
|  | 1860 | 2020 | 1860 | 2020 | 1860 | 2020 | 1860 | 2020 |
| Charleston | 70,100 | 408,235 | 29,188 | 269,435 | 40,912 | 102,875 | --- | 35,925 |
| Georgetown | 21,305 | 63,403 | 3,013 | 30,814 | 18,292 | 20,923 | --- | 11,666 |
| Horry | 7,962 | 351,029 | 5,564 | 272,399 | 2,398 | 44,230 | --- | 34,401 |
| Marion | 21,190 | 29,184 | 11,090 | 11,007 | 10,183 | 16,518 | --- | 1,576 |
| Marlboro | 12,434 | 26,667 | 5,373 | 10,347 | 7,061 | 13,600 | --- | 2,720 |
| Williamsburg | 15,489 | 31,026 | 5,187 | 10,052 | 10,302 | 19,764 | --- | 1,576 |
| Selected Counties | 148,480 | 909,544 | 59,332 | 604,137 | 89,148 | 217,910 | --- | 87,498 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 5,118,425 | 291,388 | 3,245,081 | 412,320 | 1,366,619 | --- | 506,724 |
| Slave States | 12.2M | 126M | 8M | 71M | 4.2M | 22.4M | --- | 32.6M |
| United States | 31.2M | 331.4M | 26.7M | 204M | 4.4M | 41.1M | 0.08M | 86M |

Table 6.9.11: Selected counties—order of magnitude in population growth: 1860 and 2020.*

| United States, South Carolina and Six Selected Counties | Magnitude of Population Increased from 1860 to 2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Population | White | Black $\dagger$ | All Other |
|  | 1860 to 2020 | 1860 to 2020 | 1860 to 2020 | 1860 to 2020 |
| Charleston | $5.8 \times$ | $9.2 \times$ | $2.5 \times$ | --- |
| Georgetown | $3.0 \times$ | $10.2 \times$ | $1.1 \times$ | --- |
| Horry | $44.1 \times$ | $49.0 \times$ | 18.4× | --- |
| Marion | $1.4 \times$ | $1.0 \times$ | $1.6 \times$ | --- |
| Marlboro | $2.1 \times$ | $1.9 \times$ | $1.9 \times$ | --- |
| Williamsburg | $2.0 \times$ | $1.9 \times$ | $1.9 \times$ | --- |
| Selected Counties | $6.1 \times$ | $10.2 \times$ | $2.4 \times$ | --- |
| South Carolina | $7.3 \times$ | $11.1 \times$ | $3.3 \times$ | --- |
| Slave States | $10.3 \times$ | $9.2 \times$ | 5.7× | --- |
| United States | $10.6 \times$ | $7.7 \times$ | $9.3 \times$ | --- |

[^31]
### 6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

The tabular data in Table 6.9.12 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.8.1. The table depicts the percentage change of population for 10-year intervals by category for each census year from 1790 to 2020 . The census changes show wide variations in population percentages increases or decreases.

Only the largest two historical categories (White and Black) are plotted in Figure 6.8.1. The category "All Others" shows even more large variations of increasing and decreasing rate of change; therefore, its plot would not add value to the analysis.

Table 6.9.12: South Carolina-rate of change in population by category: 1790-2020.

| Timeline | Total | Rate of change in population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population count | Population | White | Free Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |
| 1790 | 249,073 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1800 | 345,591 | 38.8\% | 40.0\% | 76.8\% | 36.5\% | --- | --- |
| 1810 | 415,115 | 20.1\% | 9.1\% | 43.0\% | 34.4\% | --- | --- |
| 1820 | 502,741 | 21.1\% | 10.9\% | 49.9\% | 31.6\% | --- | --- |
| 1830 | 581,185 | 15.6\% | 8.6\% | 16.0\% | 22.0\% | --- | --- |
| 1840 | 594,398 | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 3.7\% | --- | --- |
| 1850 | 668,507 | 12.5\% | 6.0\% | 8.3\% | 17.7\% | --- | --- |
| 1860 | 703,708 | 5.3\% | 6.1\% | 10.6\% | 4.5\% | --- | --- |
| 1870 | 705,606 | 0.3\% | -0.6\% | --- | --- | 3.3\% | --- |
| 1880 | 995,577 | 41.1\% | 35.0\% | --- | --- | 45.3\% | 12.0\% |
| 1890 | 1,151,149 | 15.6\% | 18.1\% | --- | --- | 14.0\% | 47.9\% |
| 1900 | 1,340,316 | 16.4\% | 20.7\% | --- | --- | 13.6\% | -9.2\% |
| 1910 | 1,515,400 | 13.1\% | 21.8\% | --- | --- | 6.8\% | 110.6\% |
| 1920 | 1,683,724 | 11.1\% | 20.5\% | --- | --- | 3.5\% | 17.9\% |
| 1930 | 1,738,765 | 3.3\% | 15.3\% | --- | --- | -8.2\% | 121.6\% |
| 1940 | 1,899,804 | 9.3\% | 14.9\% | --- | --- | 2.6\% | 28.7\% |
| 1950 | 2,117,027 | 11.4\% | 19.3\% | --- | --- | 1.0\% | 16.0\% |
| 1960 | 2,382,357 | 12.5\% | 19.9\% | --- | --- | 0.9\% | 32.3\% |
| 1970 | 2,590,516 | 8.7\% | 15.7\% | --- | --- | -4.9\% | 244.7\% |
| 1980 | 3,121,820 | 20.5\% | 19.7\% | --- | --- | 20.2\% | 268.7\% |
| 1990 | 3,486,703 | 11.7\% | 12.1\% | --- | --- | 9.6\% | 53.4\% |
| 2000 | 4,024,223 | 15.4\% | 10.7\% | --- | --- | 13.9\% | 342.1\% |
| 2010 | 4,636,312 | 15.2\% | 14.9\% | --- | --- | 8.9\% | 62.1\% |
| 2020 | 5,118,425 | 10.4\% | 14.9\% | --- | --- | 6.72\% | -19.1\% |

[^32]6.9 Tabular Analysis: 1790-2020, cont.

The tabular data in Table 6.9 .13 shown below were used to construct Figure 6.8.2. The table depicts the percentage change for proportion of the categories for each census year from 1801. Horry was founded in 1801; therefore the first census used was in 1810. The rates of change from census year to census year show wide variations in rate of
increase or decrease. Only the two largest historical categories (White and Black) are plotted in Figure 6.8.2. The category "All Others" shows even more large variations of increasing and decreasing rate of change; therefore, its plot would not add value to the analysis.

Table 6.9.13: Horry County-rate of change in population by category: 1810-2020.

| Timeline | Total | Rate of change in population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Population | White | Free <br> Black | Enslaved | Black | All Others |  |
|  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
| 1800 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
| 1810 | 4,349 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
| 1820 | 5,025 | $15.5 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | --- | --- |  |
| 1830 | 5,245 | $4.4 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ | $-21.7 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | --- | --- |  |
| 1840 | 5,755 | $9.7 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $-8.2 \%$ | --- | --- |  |
| 1850 | 7,646 | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $81.5 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | --- | --- |  |
| 1860 | 7,962 | $4.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $-20.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | --- | --- |  |
| 1870 | 10,721 | $35.3 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | --- | --- | $39.3 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1880 | 16,303 | $51.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $50.4 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1890 | 19,256 | $18.1 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | --- | --- | $12.3 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1900 | 23,402 | $21.5 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $13.9 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1910 | 26,955 | $15.2 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $5.5 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1920 | 32,058 | $18.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $15.4 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1930 | 39,375 | $22.8 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | --- | --- | $24.8 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1940 | 51,951 | $31.9 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | --- | --- | $46.1 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1950 | 59,820 | $15.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | --- | --- | $14.6 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1960 | 68,247 | $14.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | --- | --- | $13.4 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1970 | 69,992 | $2.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | --- | --- | $-4.6 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1980 | 101,419 | $44.9 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | --- | --- | $10.8 \%$ | --- |  |
| 1990 | 144,053 | $42.0 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | --- | --- | $30.5 \%$ | --- |  |
| 2000 | 196,629 | $36.5 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | --- | --- | $21.1 \%$ | $278.7 \%$ |  |
| 2010 | 269,291 | $37.0 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | --- | --- | $17.3 \%$ | $274.3 \%$ |  |
| 2020 | 351,029 | $30.4 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | --- | --- | $23.7 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ |  |
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## Chapter 7

Summary

### 7.1 Summary

This report summarized the United States' historical population growth and proportions for the colonies, the North, the South, slave states, South Carolina, Horry County, and six selected counties in South Carolina, from about 1630 to 2020. These analyses spanned about 390 years, focusing on the two largest historical groups (White residents of European descent and Black residents of African descent).

During the transatlantic slave trade voyages, 12.5 million Africans put on ships as cargo to the Americas, and 10.7 million survived the trip, which equates to about 1.9 million humans who died and were buried at sea or thrown overboard because they were sick or went insane during these voyages to the Americas. The vast majority of the Africans brought to the Americas as enslaved people were transported by Portugal (46.7\%), and Great Britain was a distant second (26.0\%). There are varying small fractions between $4 \%$ and $6 \%$ of enslaved Africans sent directly to the colonies or the states. This report listed about 450,000 Africans. By 1850, most US enslaved persons were third-, fourth-, or fifth-generation Americans (America's Black Holocaust Museum).

The historical summary of population proportions of White and Black residents during the colonial, antebellum, and postbellum periods depicts the experience of the two groups in the United States over several hundred years. For example, South Carolina enslaved the highest percentage of Black people per capita than any other colony or state from when it was settled in 1670 to 1865 , when slavery was abolished in the United States. Virginia first enslaved Black persons in 1619 (Hampton History Museum). The founding of the United States in 1776 did not change the status of Black residents who had been enslaved, for example, in South Carolina, for almost 200 years before the colonies declared their independence from Great Britain. Moreover, South Carolina had more enslaved people than White people from 1720 to 1780 ( 60 years) before the United States was founded. The Black population in South

## 'South Carolina enslaved the highest percentage of Black people per capita than any other colony or state from when it was settled in 1670 to 1865 , when slavery was abolished in the United States."

 opposite outcome compared to the latter from 1860 to 2020 (see Figures 7.5.9 and 7.5.10). The Great Migration, one of the largest movements of people in US history, had a major impact on this reversal. Approximately 6 million Black people moved from the American South to Northern, Midwestern, and Western states from roughly 1910 to the 1970s (National Archives). The effect of the migration is readily apparent in South Carolina (see Figures 6.5.1 and 6.6.1). The Warmth of Other Suns: The Epic Story of America's Great Migration by Isabel Wilkerson discusses the Great Migration best.I graduated from high school at the tail end of the Great Migration; about half of every graduating class up until the mid-1960s moved north after high school. At that time, only about two or three people from a graduating class at my segregated high school were able to attend college. I moved to New York after high school, like my brother and cousins before me. Several of my younger siblings subsequently moved to New York and other Northern states after high school.

### 7.2 Summary—United States

TThe graph in Figure 7.2.1 depicts population growth using area graphs for Colonial America and subsequently the United States in 1776. During the colonial period the population was small-as shown in Figure 7.2.1 when compared to the period
after the US declared its independence from Britain. Therefore, to discern growth, portions are shown in segments with graphs: 1630-1780, 1790-1860, and 1870-2020, as shown in Figures 7.2.2, 7.2.3, and 7.2.4, respectively. Tables 3.3.3 and 6.9.1.

## United States-Population Growth Pattern by Category: 1630-2020



Figure 7.2.1: United States-population growth by category: 1630-2020.*


Figure 7.2.2: Colonial America-population growth by category: 1630-1780.*
Source: Tables 3.3.3 and 6.9.1
7.2 Summary-United States, cont.

The graph in Figure 7.2.3 depicts population growth using area graphs after the founding of the United States of America and the enumeration of the first census in 1790, which is considered the beginning of the antebellum period. The graph in

Figure 7.2.4 depicts the period after the Civil war, which is considered the postbellum period. Note: The y-axis scales between the graphs are different; therefore, each graph should be viewed relative to the timeframe shown. See Tables 3.3.3 and 6.9.1.

## United States-Population Growth Pattern by Category: 1790-1860



Figure 7.2.3: United States-population growth by category: 1790-1860.*
United States-Population Growth Pattern by Category: 1870-2020

$\square$ White (United States) ■ Black (United States) $\quad$ All Others (United States)
Figure 7.2.4: United States-population growth by category: 1870-2020.*

### 7.3 Summary-South Carolina

The graph in Figure 7.3.1 depicts the population growth pattern from 1670 to 2020, which covers the colonial, antebellum, and postbellum periods. Before the enumeration of first US Census in 1790,
the population was primarily estimated by the British Board of Trade using various methodologies. To discern growth, Figure 7.3.1 is segmented with Figures 7.3.2 and 7.3.3. See Tables 6.9.5 and 7.6.3.

South Carolina-Population Growth Pattern: 1670-2020


Figure 7.3.1: South Carolina- population growth by category: 1670-2020.*

TThe graph in Figure 7.3.2 depicts the population growth of South Carolina during Colonial America from about 1670 to 1780 . The graph in Figure 7.3.3 depicts the population growth in South

## South Carolina-Population Growth

Pattern: 1670-1780


Figure 7.3.2: South Carolina- population growth by category: 1670-1780.*

Carolina during the antebellum period from about 1790 to 1860 . Note: The $y$-axis scales between the graphs are different; therefore, each graph should be viewed relative to the timeframe shown in the figure.

## South Carolina-Population Growth <br> Pattern: 1790-1860



Figure 7.3.3: South Carolina-population growth over time by category: 1790-1860.*

### 7.4 Summary-Horry County

TThe graph in Figure 7.4.1 depicts population growth of Horry County from 1810 to 2020, which covers the antebellum and postbellum periods.

Horry County was founded in 1801; therefore, the graph starts at the first census after 1801 which was 1810. See Table 6.9.7.

Horry County—Population Growth Pattern: 1810-2020


Figure 7.4.1: Horry County—population growth by category: 1810-2020.*

TThe graph in Figure 7.4.2 depicts the population growth of Horry County during colonial period from about 1810 to 1860. The graph in Figure 7.4.3 depicts the population growth in Horry County
starting with the postbellum from 1870 to 2020. Note: The y-axis scales between the graphs are different; therefore, each graph should be viewed relative to the relative timeline shown in the figure.

Horry County-Population Growth
Pattern: 1810-1860


Horry County-Population Growth
Pattern: 1870-2020


Figure 7.4.3: Horry County- population growth by category: 1870-2020.*
*Source: Table 6.9.7

### 7.5 Summary—Antebellum and Postbellum Periods

Figure 7.5.1 shows the ratio of the number of Black persons to every 100 White persons. It is clear from the graph of the economic benefit of slavery in 1860 for such states as South Carolina,

Mississippi, and Louisiana. Additionally, as a comparison, the graph depicts the number of Black persons to every 100 White persons in 1860 and 2020, respectively. See Table 7.6.1.

# United States, Slave and Former Slave States-Number of Black persons to Every 100 White persons: 1860 and 2020 



Black people played in the intense labor driven economy in 1860. For example, in South Carolina, the ratio was 142 Black persons for every 100 White persons and 42 Black persons for every 100 White persons in 1860 and 2020, respectively. This difference equates to about $70 \%$ decrease in the ratio from 1860 to 2020. The small ratios shown by the United States is an indication of the unevenness proportion of Black people throughout the country. Delaware and Maryland show a high influx of Black residents to the state since slavery was abolished in 1865 . Although Maryland and Delaware were slave state with a small population of enslaved people, they were affected by an increased in Black residents during the Great

Migration, especially the city of
Baltimore.


Missouri

$\square$ Number of Black persons per 100 White persons (1860)
$\square$ Number of Black persons per 100 White persons (2020)

## Figure 7.5.1: Slave states—number of Black residents to every 100 White residents: 1860 and 2020.

Source: Tables 7.6.1 and 7.6.5

[^34]7.5 Summary—Antebellum and Postbellum Periods, cont.

F
igure 7.5.2 depicts the number of enslaved persons in to every 100 White persons in the six counties shown in the graph. The notion is to compare the ratio of Black people (1860) and free Black persons (2020) to every 100 White persons.

It is clear from the graph that huge economic benefits were derived from the institution of slavery.

For example, 1860, Georgetown County, by far, had a huge ratio of Black residents to every 100 White residents. To emphasize, in 1860 on the eve of the Civil war, for every 607 Black residents, there are 100 White residents equating to a ratio of $6: 1$. Hence, $99 \%$ of Black people in Georgetown County were enslaved in 1860. See Table 7.6.2.

South Carolina and Six Selected Counties-Number of Black Persons to Every 100 White Persons: 1860 and $2020 \dagger$


Figure 7.5.2: Counties—number of Black persons to every 100 White persons: 1860 and 2020.
Source: Tables 7.6.2 and 7.6.6

[^35]7.5 Summary—Antebellum and Postbellum Periods, cont.

The graphs in Figures 7.5.3-7.5.12 depict various census year snapshots of the ratio of Blacks to every 100 White persons. The ratios for the United States (Figure 7.5.3) indicate a relative even proportion of Black and White residents over the years (1790-2020). The number of Black people to every 100 Whites in the fifteen slave and the former fifteen slave states (Figure 7.5.4) depicts an unstable decreasing pattern trend. The national (Figure 7.5.3)shows a random pattern. The data for South Carolina during the antebellum and postbellum periods (Figure 7.5.5) an increasing and decreasing pattern which correlate with the requirement for intense physical labor during the peak of slavery on the eve of the Civil War (1860) and decreased significantly by 2020. The Black population in the


Figure 7.5.3: United States-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*

South Carolina: Number of Black
Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.5: South Carolina-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*
selected counties (Table 7.6.2) increased by about $144 \%$, from 1860 to 2020 . Although the number of Black persons has increased numerically, the data show their percentage of the total population has decreased significantly since 1860 . There are notable changes in the ratio from the end of the Civil War to 2020, which are highlighted with the figures and tables in this chapter. For example, in 1860, the ratio of Blacks to every 100 White persons was about 142 in South Carolina (see Table 7.5.2). The Black population had increased to about 1.4 million in South Carolina by 2020; however, the ratio of Black to White residents decreased from 142 to 42 to every 100 White residents. See Tables 7.6.5 and 7.6.7.
Note: Ratio here means the number of Black persons for every 100 White persons.


Figure 7.5.4: Slave states-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*


Figure 7.5.6: Selected counties-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*
*Source: Tables 7.6.5 and 7.6.7
7.5 Summary—Antebellum and Postbellum Periods, cont.

TThe graphs in Figures 7.5.7-7.5.12 display the number of Black persons to every 100 White persons in the six selected counties of the 46 counties

Charleston County: Number of Black Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.7: Charleston County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*

Marion County: Number of Black
Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.9: Marion County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*

Williamsburg County: Number of Black Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.11: Williamsburg County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*
in South Carolina. The graphs provide a historical sense of population proportionate of Black and White residents over 210 years. See Tables 6.9.5 and 7.6.7.

## Horry County: Number of Black Persons per 100 White Persons



Figure 7.5.8 Horry County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*

Marlboro County: Number of Black
Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.10: Marlboro County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*

Georgetown County: Number of Black Persons per 100 White Persons


Figure 7.5.12: Georgetown County-snapshot of Black/White ratio.*
*Source: Tables 6.9.5 and 7.6.7

### 7.6 Summary-Tabular

The tabular data in Table 7.6.1 were used to construct Figure 7.5.1. This was done to compare the ratio of Black to White residents in slave states with the population in 2020 of those same states. The numbers under the table heading "ratio" are the number of Black persons for every 100 White persons. The ratio is computed by dividing the population of enslaved people by the population of Whites and multiplying
by 100 . The percentage of enslaved Blacks in slave states was $94 \%$ on average and $99 \%$ in several states where the demand for high-intensity labor was the greatest. The ratio is significantly smaller from 1860 to 2020. The smaller ratio of Blacks to Whites in the former slave states decreased by an average of $39 \%$ and was as high as $72 \%$ in South Carolina.

Table 7.6.1: Slave states—number of Black persons to every 100 White persons: 1860 and 2020.

|  | Population and Ratio: 1860 |  |  |  | Population and Ratio: 2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slave <br> States | Total population | White | Black | Ratio ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | Total Population | White | Black | Ratio ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ |
| Alabama | 964,041 | 526,271 | 437,770 | 83 | 5,024,279 | 3,220,452 | 1,296,162 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 435,402 | 324,143 | 111,250 | 34 | 3,011,524 | 2,114,512 | 453,783 | 21 |
| Delaware | 112,216 | 90,589 | 21,627 | 24 | 989,948 | 597,763 | 218,899 | 37 |
| Florida | 140,317 | 77,640 | 62,677 | 81 | 21,538,187 | 12,422,961 | 3,246,381 | 26 |
| Georgia | 1,057,248 | 591,550 | 465,698 | 79 | 10,711,908 | 5,555,483 | 3,329,513 | 60 |
| Kentucky | 1,155,651 | 919,484 | 236,167 | 26 | 4,505,836 | 3,711,254 | 362,417 | 10 |
| Louisiana | 707,829 | 357,456 | 350,373 | 98 | 4,657,757 | 2,657,652 | 1,464,023 | 55 |
| Maryland | 687,059 | 515,918 | 171,131 | 33 | 6,117,224 | 3,007,874 | 1,820,472 | 61 |
| Mississippi | 791,303 | 353,899 | 437,404 | 124 | 2,961,279 | 1,658,893 | 1,084,481 | 65 |
| Missouri | 1,181,992 | 1063489 | 118,503 | 11 | 6,154,913 | 4740335 | 699840 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 991,464 | 629,942 | 361,522 | 57 | 10,439,388 | 6,488,459 | 2,140,217 | 33 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,388 | 412,320 | 142 | 5,118,425 | 3,243,442 | 1,370,528 | 42 |
| Tennessee | 1,109,741 | 826,722 | 283,019 | 34 | 6,910,840 | 4,990,938 | 1,092,948 | 22 |
| Texas | 603,812 | 420,891 | 182,921 | 43 | 29,145,505 | 14,609,365 | 3,552,997 | 24 |
| Virginia | 1,596,206 | 1,047,299 | 548,907 | 52 | 8,631,393 | 5,208,856 | 1,607,581 | 31 |
| Slave <br> States | 12,237,989 | 8,036,681 | 4,201,289 | 52 | 125,918,406 | 74,228,239 | 23,650,245 | 32 |

Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^36]
### 7.6 Summary-Tabular, cont.

Table 7.6.2: Selected counties-number of Black persons to every 100 White persons in 1860 and 2020.*

| Slave and <br> Former Slave Counties | Population and Ratio: 1860 |  |  |  | Population and Ratio: 2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Population | White | Black | Ratio | Total <br> Population | White | Black | Ratio |
| Charleston | 70,100 | 29,188 | 40,912 | 140 | 408,235 | 268,599 | 102,875 | 38 |
| Georgetown | 21,305 | 3,013 | 18,292 | 607 | 63,404 | 41,555 | 20,923 | 50 |
| Horry | 7,962 | 5,564 | 2,398 | 43 | 351,030 | 272,399 | 44,230 | 16 |
| Marion | 21,190 | 11,007 | 10,183 | 93 | 29,184 | 11,205 | 16,518 | 147 |
| Marlboro | 12,434 | 5,373 | 7,061 | 131 | 26,667 | 10,839 | 13,600 | 182 |
| Williamsburg | 15,489 | 5,187 | 10,302 | 199 | 31,026 | 10,249 | 19,764 | 192 |
| Six Selected Counties | 148,440 | 59,332 | 89,148 | 150 | 909,544 | 604,137 | 217,910 | 36 |
| South Carolina | 703,708 | 291,388 | 412,320 | 142 | 5,118,425 | 3,243,442 | 1,370,528 | 42 |
| Slave States | 12,237,989 | 8,036,681 | 4,201,289 | 52 | 125,918,406 | 74,228,239 | 23,650,245 | 32 |

Table 7.6.3: Colonies and South Carolina-population count timeline: 1630-1780.*

| Timeline | Colonies |  | South Carolina |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Black |
| 1630 | 4,586 | 60 | --- | --- |
| 1640 | 26,187 | 497 | --- | --- |
| 1650 | 48,768 | 1,600 | --- | --- |
| 1660 | 72,138 | 2,920 | --- | --- |
| 1670 | 107,400 | 4,535 | 170 | 30 |
| 1680 | 144,536 | 6,971 | 1,000 | 200 |
| 1690 | 193,643 | 16,729 | 2,400 | 1,500 |
| 1700 | 223,071 | 27,817 | 3,260 | 2,444 |
| 1710 | 286,845 | 44,866 | 6,783 | 4,100 |
| 1720 | 397,346 | 68,839 | 5,048 | 12,000 |
| 1730 | 538,424 | 91,021 | 10,000 | 20,000 |
| 1740 | 755,539 | 249,024 | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| 1750 | 934,340 | 236,420 | 25,000 | 39,000 |
| 1760 | $1,270,819$ | 322,806 | 36,740 | 57,334 |
| 1770 | $1,688,254$ | 459,822 | 49,066 | 75,178 |
| 1780 | $2,204,949$ | 575,420 | 83,000 | 97,000 |

[^37]
### 7.6 Summary-Tabular, cont

Table 7.6.4: Slave states—population of White and Black residents: 1790, 1810 and 1860.*

| Slave States | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Black | White | Black |
| Alabama | --- | --- | --- | --- | 526,271 | 437,770 |
| Arkansas | --- | --- | --- | --- | 324,143 | 111,250 |
| Delaware | 46,310 | 12,786 | 55,361 | 17,313 | 90,589 | 21,627 |
| Florida |  |  |  |  | 77,640 | 62,677 |
| Georgia | 52,886 | 29,662 | 145,414 | 107,010 | 591,550 | 465,698 |
| Kentucky | 61,133 | 12,544 | 324,237 | 82,274 | 919,484 | 236,167 |
| Louisiana | --- | --- | 34,311 | 42,245 | 357,456 | 350,373 |
| Maryland | 208,649 | 103,036 | 235,117 | 145,429 | 515,918 | 171,131 |
| Mississippi | --- | --- | 23,024 | 17,328 | 353,899 | 437,404 |
| Missouri | --- | --- | 17,227 | 3,018 | $1,063,489$ | 118,503 |
| North Carolina | 288,204 | 105,547 | 376,410 | 179,090 | 629,942 | 361,522 |
| South Carolina | 140,178 | 108,895 | 214,190 | 200,919 | 291,388 | 412,320 |
| Tennessee | 31,913 | 3,778 | 215,875 | 45,852 | 826,722 | 283,019 |
| Texas | --- | --- | --- | --- | 420,891 | 182,921 |
| Virginia | 391,524 | 300,213 | 551,514 | 423,086 | $1,047,299$ | 548,907 |
| All Slave States | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 0 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 6 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 9 2 , 6 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 3 , 5 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 3 6 , 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 1 , 2 8 9}$ |
| Confederate States | $\mathbf{9 0 4 , 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 8 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 0 , 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 5 , 5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 4 7 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 5 3 , 8 6 1}$ |

Table 7.6.5: Former slave states—population of White and Black residents: 1960 and 2020.*

| Former Slave <br> States | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Black |
| Alabama | $2,283,609$ | 980,271 | $3,220,452$ | $1,296,162$ |
| Arkansas | $1,395,703$ | 388,787 | $2,114,512$ | 453,783 |
| Delaware | 384,327 | 60,688 | 597,763 | 218,899 |
| Florida | $4,208,826$ | 544,672 | $12,422,961$ | $3,246,381$ |
| Georgia | $2,817,223$ | $1,122,596$ | $5,555,483$ | $3,329,513$ |
| Kentucky | $2,820,083$ | 215,949 | $3,711,254$ | 362,417 |
| Louisiana | $2,211,715$ | $1,039,207$ | $2,657,652$ | $1,464,023$ |
| Maryland | $2,573,919$ | 518,410 | $3,007,874$ | $1,820,472$ |
| Mississippi | $1,257,546$ | 915,743 | $1,658,893$ | $1,084,481$ |
| Missouri | $3,922,967$ | 390,853 | $4,740,335$ | 699,840 |
| North Carolina | $3,399,285$ | $1,116,021$ | $6,488,459$ | $2,140,217$ |
| South Carolina | $1,551,504$ | 829,291 | $3,243,442$ | $1,370,528$ |
| Tennessee | $2,977,753$ | 586,876 | $4,990,938$ | $1,092,948$ |
| Texas | $8,374,831$ | $1,187,125$ | $14,609,365$ | $3,552,997$ |
| Virginia | $3,142,443$ | 816,258 | $5,208,856$ | $1,607,581$ |
| All Slave States | $\mathbf{4 3 , 3 2 1 , 7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 1 2 , 7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 2 2 8}, 239$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 4 0 , 2 4 2}$ |
| Confederate States | $\mathbf{3 3 , 6 2 0 , 4 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 2 6 , 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 1 7 1 , 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 6 3 8 , 6 1 4}$ |

*Source: Historical Statistics of the US Census Bureau, Population Division.

### 7.6 Summary—Tabular, cont

Table 7.6.6: Slave states—number of Black residents to every 100 White residents: 1790-2020.*

| Slave and Former Slave | Number of Black Persons to Every 100 White Persons |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| Alabama | --- | --- | 83 | 43 | 40 |
| Arkansas | --- | --- | 34 | 28 | 21 |
| Delaware | 28 | 31 | 24 | 16 | 37 |
| Florida | --- | --- | 81 | 13 | 26 |
| Georgia | 56 | 74 | 79 | 40 | 60 |
| Kentucky | 21 | 25 | 26 | 8 | 10 |
| Louisiana | --- | 123 | 98 | 47 | 55 |
| Maryland | 49 | 62 | 33 | 20 | 61 |
| Mississippi | --- | 75 | 124 | 73 | 65 |
| Missouri |  | 18 | 11 | 10 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 37 | 48 | 57 | 33 | 33 |
| South Carolina | 78 | 94 | 142 | 53 | 42 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 21 | 34 | 20 | 22 |
| Texas | --- | --- | 43 | 14 | 24 |
| Virginia | 77 | 77 | 52 | 26 | 31 |
| Slave States | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| Confederate States | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |

Table 7.6.7: Slave states-population of White and Black residents: 1810-2020.*

| Selected Counties | 1810 |  | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ |  | 1960 |  | 2020 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Black | White | Black | White | Black |
| Charleston | 16,012 | 47,168 | 29,188 | 40,912 | 137,449 | 78,488 | 268,599 | 102,875 |
| Georgetown | 1,710 | 13,969 | 3,013 | 18,294 | 16,652 | 18,137 | 41,555 | 20,923 |
| Horry | 2,933 | 1,416 | 5,564 | 2,398 | 50,005 | 18,199 | 272,399 | 44,230 |
| Marion | 6,129 | 2,865 | 11,007 | 10,183 | 14,416 | 17,582 | 11,205 | 16,518 |
| Marlboro | 3,173 | 1,793 | 5,373 | 7,061 | 14,608 | 13,812 | 10,839 | 13,600 |
| Williamsburg | 2,306 | 4,565 | 5,187 | 10,302 | 13,716 | 27,171 | 10,249 | 19,764 |
| All Six Counties | $\mathbf{3 2 , 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 , 0 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 4 , 8 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7 , 9 1 0}$ |
| South Carolina | $\mathbf{2 1 4 , 1 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2 , 3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 1 , 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 9 , 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 4 5 , 0 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 6 , 6 1 9}$ |

Table 7.6.8: Selected counties—number of Black residents to every 100 White residents: 1810-2020.*

| Selected Counties | Number of Black Persons to Every $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ White Persons |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Name | $\mathbf{1 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| Charleston | 295 | 140 | 57 | 34 |
| Georgetown | 817 | 607 | 109 | 44 |
| Horry | 48 | 43 | 36 | 16 |
| Marion | 47 | 93 | 122 | 146 |
| Marlboro | 57 | 131 | 95 | 181 |
| Williamsburg | 198 | 199 | 198 | 192 |
| All Six Counties | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| South Carolina | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
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[^0]:    *The colonies became states after the 13 declared their independence from the British in 1776 and formed what is now the United States.
    **The year 1780 falls under the United States' Continental Congress: 1774-1789. See footnote on page 20.

[^1]:    *Selected counties.

[^2]:    *Selected counties from South Carolina. In the interest of space, the word selected is omitted in the list of tables above for Chapter 7-Summary.

[^3]:    $\dagger$ Slave Voyages—Trans Atlantic Trade

[^4]:    $\dagger$ Original thirteen colonies: (1) Maine was included in Massachusetts, (2) Vermont was included in New York, (3) Kentucky was included in Virginia, and (4) Tennessee was included in North Carolina. By 1780, Maine, Vermont, Kentucky, and Kentucky were carved out as separate colonies; therefore, the total number of colonies used for the North and South is 17 . Hence, $13+4=17$. The 17 colonies percentage proportion was analyzed as North and South in this report. This footnote applies to all colonies listed in Chapters 3 and 4.
    $\ddagger$ In the South, about $94 \%$ of Black residents were enslaved.

[^5]:    $\dagger$ During this period, the Continental Congress served as the government of the 13 original American colonies, and later the United States, from 1774 to 1789 . After declaring its independence from the British on July 4, 1776, the new country, under the Continental Congress, was governed by Articles of Confederation until a constitution could be drafted and ratified June 21, 1788. Hence, George Washington was sworn in as the first president of the United States on April 30, 1789. To that end, the first US Federal Census was conducted in 1790. For information on the number of colonies see footnote ( $\uparrow$ ) on page 19.

[^6]:    $w^{c}{ }^{s}$
    *Source: Historical Statistics of the US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^7]:    *Source: Historical Statistics of the US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^8]:    $\dagger$ South Carolina enslaved the highest percentage of Black people per capita than any other colony or state from when it was settled in 1670 to 1865, when slavery was abolished in the United States.

[^9]:    Source: Table 4.9.1

[^10]:    $\dagger$ Some literature might show 19 free states in 1860 , which does not agree with my research. The 1860 census was enumerated on June 1, 1860, and the nineteenth free state, Kansas, was admitted to the Union January 29, 1861. Moreover, West Virginia was recognized as a state on June 20, 1863. The variation does not affect the percentage.

[^11]:    $\dagger$ The percentage of national for the North and South (1790) shown in Tables 4.8.1 and 4.8.3 totals
    $100 \%$. For example, North $=50.1 \%$ and South $=49.9 \%$, add up to $100 \%$. This pattern is the same for 1860 .

[^12]:    $\dagger$ The percentage of national for the North and South (1790) shown in Tables 4.8.1 and 4.8.3 totals $100 \%$. For example, North $=50.1 \%$ and South $=49.9 \%$, add up to $100 \%$. This pattern is the same for 1860 .

[^13]:    $\dagger$ North and South depicted in Tables 4.8.1-4.8.4 consists of the thirteen original colonies and the four states subsequently carved from the original thirteen colonies for a total of seventeen states divided into North and South. Although there were thirty-three states in 1860, the idea is to compare the population of the original colonies in 1790 to their population 1860. Hence, the original colonies expanded to territories with a total of thirty-three states by 1860 (eighteen free states and fifteen slave states). $\ddagger$ There were fifteen (15) slave states in 1860 . Total population of slave states and free states in 1860: 12,240,293 $+18,799,413=31,039,706$ (Tables 4.8 .5 and 4.8.6).

[^14]:    $\dagger$ Some literature might show 19 free states in 1860 , which is incorrect. The 1860 census was enumerated on
    June 1, 1860 and the nineteenth free state, Kansas, was admitted to the Union January 29, 1861. Table 4.8 .6 correctly shows 18 free states. $\ddagger$ There were eighteen (18) free states in 1860 . Total population of free states and slave states in 1860: 18,799,413 $+12,240,293=31,039,706$ (Tables 4.8.5 and 4.8.6).

[^15]:    $\dagger$ Of the fifteen slave states, eleven seceded from the Union during the Civil War as shown. The states that did not secede are Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, and Missouri. Texas was the last state to be readmitted to the Union.

[^16]:    $\dagger$ South Carolina enslaved the highest percentage of Black people per capita than any other colony or state from when it was settled in 1670 to 1865, when slavery was abolished in the United States.

[^17]:    *Source: US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^18]:    $\dagger$ Number of slaveholding families was obtained from Historical US Census Bureau data.
    \$Slaveholding population is estimated by dividing the White population by a factor of 5.14 for the counties and 5.43 South Carolina population. For example, Charleston County population of 29,188 was divided by $5.14=5,679$ slaveholding population. Percentage of slaveholding families is computed by diving the number of slaveholding families by the slaveholding population. Example: $2,853 / 5,679=50.2 \%$.

[^19]:    $\dagger$ Example: In 1860, South Carolina's enslaved population was 402,406 and the population of slaveholding families was 26,701 . The enslaved population divided by the number of slaveholding families $=402,406 / 26,701=15.07 \approx 15$.

[^20]:    $\dagger$ Example: In 1860, Marion County's enslaved population was 9,951 and the population of slaveholding families was 846 . The enslaved population divided by the number of slaveholding families $=9,951 / 846=11.8 \approx 12$.

[^21]:    $\dagger$ Self-identified as White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).
    \$Self-identified as Black or African American alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).

[^22]:    -The percentages for the category "All Others" are too small to show on this graph; therefore, see Table 6.9.3 for these percentages.
    $\dagger$ Self-identified as White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).
    $\ddagger$ Self-identified as Black or African American alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).

[^23]:    *Source: Table 6.9.9

[^24]:    -The percentages for the category "All Others" are too small to show on this graph; therefore, see Table 6.9.9 for these percentages. $\dagger$ Self-identified as White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).
    $\ddagger$ Self-identified as Black or African American alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).

[^25]:    $\dagger$ Self-identified as White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).
    $\ddagger$ Self-identified as Black or African American alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).

[^26]:    $\dagger$ All Others-included only Indian as listed by the US Census Bureau (1860) for all slave states. Only an average of $0.02 \%$ were listed as "All Others" category in the 1860 census (see Table 6.9.3). $\ddagger$ Did not join the Confederacy.

[^27]:    $\dagger$ Self-identified as White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).
    $\ddagger$ Self-identified as Black or African American alone, not Hispanic or Latino (started with 2000 census).

    - See Table 6.9.3 for a list of Confederate states.

[^28]:    $\dagger$ Horry County was founded in 1801; therefore, population count started with the 1810 US Federal Census.
    $\$$ Category Black consists of free Black and enslaved persons.

[^29]:    Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^30]:    $\dagger$ To emphasize, the designation "Black" in tables and figures before the 1870 census is the combined total of free Black and enslaved Black residents.

[^31]:    *Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).
    $\dagger$ The designation "Black" in Table 6.9.10 is the combined total of free Black and enslaved Black residents in 1860. $\ddagger$ All Others Category is omitted from Table 6.9.11 because the report focus is on the two historical groups (White and Black).

[^32]:    Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^33]:    Source: US Census Bureau-Population Division, and American Community Survey (ACS).

[^34]:    $\dagger$ About $99 \%$ of Black persons were enslaved in most of the slave states. See Figure 4.5.1 and 5.3.2.
    $\ddagger$ The vast majority of Black residents lived in the southern states; therefore, the 1860 ratio is correct but misleading. The ratio of slave states provides a more representative ratio.

[^35]:    $\dagger$ About $99 \%$ of Black persons were enslaved in most of the slave states. See Figure 4.5.1 and 5.3.2.

[^36]:    $\dagger$ Ratio: Number of Black residents to every 100 White residents. Please note that $94 \%$ of Black persons living in slave states were enslaved and this number increased to about $99 \%$ in states such as Mississippi, South Carolina, and so on. The category enslaved persons and free Black was combined under Black persons for computation so that the comparison to post 1865 used the same category.

[^37]:    *Source: Historical Statistics of the US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^38]:    *Source: Historical Statistics of the US Census Bureau, Population Division.

[^39]:    More of WCS' publications:
    https://wilsonconsultingservices.net/our-digital-publications.html

